

# Assessment of Malnutrition among Hospitalized Patients in Arak, Iran

# Farhad Vahid; PhD<sup>1</sup>, Rahmatollah Moradzadeh; PhD<sup>2</sup> & Fatemeh Azizi-Soleiman; PhD<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nutrition, School of Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran; <sup>2</sup> Department of Epidemiology, School of Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran.

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

Article history: Received:8 Feb 2022 Revised: 17 May 2022 Accepted: 17 May 2022

#### \*Corresponding author:

fatemehazizi87@gmail.com School of Health, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran.

*Postal code:* 3818146851 *Tel:* +98 9126216517

# ABSTRACT

Background: Since most studies evaluating the prevalence of hospital malnutrition in Iran have only been conducted on a specific group of patients, the present study was designed to investigate the prevalence of malnutrition in several different hospital wards. Methods: The nutritional status of 284 hospitalized patients was evaluated using the PG-SGA short form and compared according to demographic data and body mass index (BMI). Demographic and SGA domains were compared across BMI categories. Malnutrition degree was also compared. Results: Among the participants, 37.0% (n=105) had moderate malnutrition and 51.1% (n=145) had severe malnutrition. Comparing differences within patients according to their BMI status, there were no significant differences according to age, hospitalization duration, and current food intake status. Only sex and cause of hospitalization showed significant differences. Most of male participants had normal weight and were hospitalized for non-GI disorders (P=0.001 and 0.031, respectively). As expected, the scores obtained from weight, food intake, and symptoms sections of the questionnaire were higher in underweight patients in comparison to other BMI categories. Comparison of the same characteristics as per malnutrition status showed that people with high risk of malnutrition were older (P=0.023), had oral food intake (P=0.007) and normal BMI (P=0.001). Conclusion: The number of patients at high risk of malnutrition was relatively significant in the study. A high frequency of malnutrition was observed among individuals with normal BMI. Screening tools in addition to BMI should be used to detect patients at risk of malnutrition.

**Keywords:** *Malnutrition; Nutritional status; Hospitalization; Body mass index; Nutrition assessment* 

### Introduction

Hospital malnutrition happens during hospital stay as a result of inadequate intake of energy and macro-and micro-nutrients (McKinlay, 2008). It has negative consequences on both patients and the health care system (Barker *et al.*, 2011). The effects of malnutrition on the patient include impaired immune response (Scrimshaw and SanGiovanni, 1997), impaired nutrient absorption, insufficient organs function (Allison, 2000, Holmes, 2007, Kubrak and Jensen, 2007, Naber *et al.*, 1997), reduction of lean and fat mass (Chandra, 1997, Holmes, 2007, Kubrak and

Jensen, 2007), fatigue, anorexia (Kubrak and Jensen, 2007), increased hospital stay (Kruizenga *et al.*, 2005), and increased disease complications (Braunschweig *et al.*, 2000). On the other hand, malnutrition increases the workload of hospital staff and imposes tremendous costs on the public health system (Ferguson *et al.*, 1997).

The prevalence of hospital malnutrition has been reported to be approximately 20-30% in Europe, 27-39% in Asia, 37-45% in North America, and 23-42% in Australia (Correia et al., 2017). Considerably, the prevalence of malnutrition varies according to age and underlying disease of patients (Ávila et al., 2020). Malnutrition often remains under-recognized due to a lack of attention and awareness of the health care professionals (Adams et al., 2008). Therefore, early detection of malnutrition and making appropriate and timely interventions will prevent its adverse effects (Guyonnet and Rolland, 2015). Nutritional assessment is suggested to evaluate malnutrition in all patients on admission, which is unfortunately often neglected (Schindler et al., 2010). Knowing factors like underlying and current diseases, the patient's preferences, chewing and swallowing abilities, and gastrointestinal tract function is essential for the treatment of malnutrition (Tannen and Lohrmann, 2013).

Several validated tools have been developed to assess patients who are malnourished or at risk of malnutrition. One of these diagnostic tools is the Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment Short Form (PG-SGA SF or abridged PG-SGA), which has a subjective nature and helps specialists assess clinical variables changes (Barker et al., 2011). This questionnaire has some patientreported components including weight history, food intake, nutrition impact symptoms, activity, as well as activities and function (Detsky et al., 1987). Implementation of this screening tool by dieticians is useful for detecting malnutrition and applying the best nutrition care. Some studies have assessed the prevalence of hospital malnutrition in Iran, but most of them have only been conducted on a specific group of patients. Therefore, the present study was designed to investigate the prevalence of malnutrition in several different hospital wards.

# **Materials and Methods**

Study design and population: The present crosssectional study was carried out during six months, from March 18 to September 20, 2018. The study included 262 hospitalized patients in endocrine, renal, cardiology, and gastroenterology wards. Assuming a hospital malnutrition prevalence of 43%, the sample size was calculated using type 1 error 0.05. The study was done in Arak, Iran, including five leading educational hospitals. A list of the number of patients admitted to selected wards of each hospital was prepared. Study participants were selected by simple random sampling. Then, each hospitalized patient who met the inclusion criteria entered the study. Patients were included in the study if they were  $\geq 18$ -yearold and excluded if they had following criteria: discharge within the next 24-h, being pregnant or lactating, having dementia or eating disorders, and terminally-ill patients.

Measurements: Demographic and clinical data including age, sex, body weight, height, hospitalization duration, cause of hospitalization, and current food intake status were extracted from patients' medical records. Body mass index (BMI) was determined using the following formula: weight (kg)/[height (m)]<sup>2</sup>. Patients were classified into four groups including underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal (BMI 18.5-24.99 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI 25-29.99 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese  $(BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ . Nursing students gathered the data. Each student was trained by one of the project managers to ensure careful data collection and nutrition assessment. Nutritional assessment was performed using the validated Persian version of the scored PG-SGA SF (Shahabbasi et al., 2018). The PG-SGA SF total score was obtained from summing up the scores of four domains. Domain 1 described weight changes history (scores 0-5), domain 2 described food intake changes (scores 0-4), domain 3 reported symptoms affecting nutritional status (scores 0-23), and domain 4 showed any changes to activities and

DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v8i4.14002

functions (scores 0-4). Based on the total score obtained from the questionnaire, malnutrition was defined as either: 0-1 wellnourished; 2-3 mildly malnourished; 4-8 moderately malnourished; and  $\geq 9$  severely malnourished. Given that malnutrition is also defined as BMI < 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, the relationship between BMI and SGA domains was also investigated.

Ethical considerations: The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Arak University of Medical Sciences (IR.ARAKMU.REC.1398.231) and conducted in line with the Helsinki declaration. The study protocol was explained for all participants and informed consent forms were obtained before participating in the study.

Data analysis: For descriptive analysis, mean and standard deviation (SD) were used for quantitative variables and frequency and percent for qualitative variables. One-sample Kolmogorov Smirnov analysis was applied for parametric tests. All quantitative variables in this study had nonparametric distribution. Therefore, Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyse differences between either degree of malnutrition or BMI categories and study quantitative variables. Furthermore, Chi-square test was used to determine independence of degree of malnutrition and the qualitative variables. The significance level was considered lower than 0.05. All analyses were conduct in SPSS 24.0 software.

#### **Results**

In this analysis, 284 patients were included. The mean age of participants was 57.74±18.83) years. Males were 58.8% (n=167) of the participant. The mean score of BMI of the participants was 25.20  $\pm 5.25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The mean score of days of hospitalization were 9.34±11.63 days. The most important cause of hospitalization was non-GI disorders (64.3%). Most of patients (65.2%) had food intake by mouth. Losing weight during the past year, past 6 months, and past 2 weeks were observed in 48.6%, 40.9%, and 30.4% of the participants, respectively. Among the participants, 37.0% (n=105) had moderate malnutrition and 51.1% (n=145)had malnutrition severe (Table 1).

#### Table 1. Characteristics of the patients.

Age (year) $57.74\pm18.83^a$ Body mass index (kg/m²) $25.20\pm5.25$ Hospitalization duration (days) $9.34\pm11.63$ Sex $117 (41.2)^b$ Male $167 (58.8)$ Cause of hospitalization $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $100 (64.3)$ Current food intake status $144 (65.2)$ Oral intake $136 (48.6)$ Nutrition support $77 (34.8)$ Losing weight in the past year $136 (48.6)$ Decreased $99 (35.4)$ Increased $45 (16.1)$ Losing weight in the past 6 months $112 (40.9)$ No change $31 (11.3)$ Losing weight in past 2 weeks $84 (30.4)$ Decreased $11 (4.0)$ Malnutrition risk $6 (2.1)$ No change $181 (65.6)$ Increased $11 (4.0)$				
Body mass index $(kg/m^2)$ $25.20\pm5.25$ Hospitalization duration (days) $9.34\pm11.63$ Sex $117 (41.2)^b$ Male $167 (58.8)$ Cause of hospitalization $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $180 (64.3)$ Current food intake status $144 (65.2)$ Oral intake $144 (65.2)$ Nutrition support $77 (34.8)$ Losing weight in the past year $136 (48.6)$ Decreased $45 (16.1)$ Losing weight in the past 6 months $112 (40.9)$ No change $31 (11.3)$ Losing weight in past 2 weeks $84 (30.4)$ No change $181 (65.6)$ Increased $111 (4.0)$ Malnutrition risk $6 (2.1)$ Normal $20 (7.0)$ Mild $20 (7.0)$ Moderate $105 (37.0)$ Severe $145 (51.1)$	Age (year)	$57.74 \pm 18.83^{a}$		
Hospitalization duration (days) $9.34\pm11.63$ SexFemale $1177 (41.2)^b$ Male $167 (58.8)$ Cause of hospitalization $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $144 (65.2)$ Oral intake $144 (65.2)$ Nutrition support $77 (34.8)$ Losing weight in the past year $136 (48.6)$ Decreased $45 (16.1)$ No change $99 (35.4)$ Increased $45 (16.1)$ Losing weight in the past 6 months $112 (40.9)$ Decreased $31 (11.3)$ Losing weight in past 2 weeks $84 (30.4)$ No change $181 (65.6)$ Increased $111 (4.0)$ Malnutrition risk $6 (2.1)$ Normal $20 (7.0)$ Mild $20 (7.0)$ Moderate $105 (37.0)$ Severe $145 (51.1)$	Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.20±5.25		
SexFemale117 (41.2)bMale167 (58.8)Cause of hospitalization100 (35.7)Non- GI disorders180 (64.3)Current food intake status144 (65.2)Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year136 (48.6)Decreased99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Hospitalization duration (days)	9.34±11.63		
Female $117 (41.2)^b$ MaleMale $167 (58.8)$ Cause of hospitalization $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disordersNon- GI disorders $100 (35.7)$ Non- GI disorders $144 (65.2)$ Oral intake $144 (65.2)$ Oral intake $144 (65.2)$ Nutrition support $77 (34.8)$ Losing weight in the past year Decreased $136 (48.6)$ No change $99 (35.4)$ Increased $45 (16.1)$ Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased $112 (40.9)$ No change $131 (47.8)$ Increased $31 (11.3)$ Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased $84 (30.4)$ No change $181 (65.6)$ Increased $11 (4.0)$ Malnutrition risk Normal $6 (2.1)$ Mild $20 (7.0)$ Moderate $105 (37.0)$ Severe $145 (51.1)$	Sex			
Male167 (58.8)Cause of hospitalizationIGI disorders100 (35.7)Non- GI disorders180 (64.3)Current food intake status144 (65.2)Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year136 (48.6)Decreased45 (16.1)No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)Decreased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Female	117 (41.2) <sup>b</sup>		
Cause of hospitalizationGI disorders100 (35.7)Non- GI disorders180 (64.3)Current food intake status144 (65.2)Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year136 (48.6)Decreased99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)Decreased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased181 (65.6)Increased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Male	167 (58.8)		
GI disorders100 (35.7)Non- GI disorders180 (64.3)Current food intake status144 (65.2)Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year136 (48.6)Decreased99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)Decreased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Cause of hospitalization			
Non- GI disorders180 (64.3)Current food intake status Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year Decreased136 (48.6)No change Increased99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change Decreased131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change Increased181 (65.6)Increased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate Severe145 (51.1)	GI disorders	100 (35.7)		
Current food intake status Oral intake144 (65.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year Decreased136 (48.6)No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Non- GI disorders	180 (64.3)		
Oral intakeI+4 (05.2)Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year136 (48.6)Decreased99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)Decreased131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Current food intake status	144 (65.2)		
Nutrition support77 (34.8)Losing weight in the past year Decreased136 (48.6)No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased111 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate Severe145 (51.1)	Oral intake	144 (03.2)		
Losing weight in the past year Decreased136 (48.6)No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate Severe145 (51.1)	Nutrition support	77 (34.8)		
Decreased100 (40.0)No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months112 (40.9)Decreased131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Losing weight in the past year	136 (48.6)		
No change99 (35.4)Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months $112 (40.9)$ Decreased $131 (47.8)$ Increased $31 (11.3)$ Losing weight in past 2 weeks $84 (30.4)$ Decreased $181 (65.6)$ Increased $111 (4.0)$ Malnutrition risk $6 (2.1)$ Normal $20 (7.0)$ Mild $20 (7.0)$ Severe $145 (51.1)$	Decreased	150 (40.0)		
Increased45 (16.1)Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	No change	99 (35.4)		
Losing weight in the past 6 months Decreased112 (40.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Increased	45 (16.1)		
Decreased112 (10.9)No change131 (47.8)Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks84 (30.4)Decreased181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk6 (2.1)Normal20 (7.0)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Losing weight in the past 6 months	112 (40.9)		
No change       131 (47.8)         Increased       31 (11.3)         Losing weight in past 2 weeks       84 (30.4)         Decreased       181 (65.6)         Increased       11 (4.0)         Malnutrition risk       6 (2.1)         Mild       20 (7.0)         Moderate       105 (37.0)         Severe       145 (51.1)	Decreased	112 (10.9)		
Increased31 (11.3)Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	No change	131 (47.8)		
Losing weight in past 2 weeks Decreased84 (30.4)No change181 (65.6)Increased11 (4.0)Malnutrition risk Normal6 (2.1)Mild20 (7.0)Moderate Severe105 (37.0)Severe145 (51.1)	Increased	31 (11.3)		
Decreased         Decreased           No change         181 (65.6)           Increased         11 (4.0)           Malnutrition risk         6 (2.1)           Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Losing weight in past 2 weeks	84 (30.4)		
No change         181 (65.6)           Increased         11 (4.0)           Malnutrition risk         6 (2.1)           Normal         20 (7.0)           Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Decreased	01 (30.1)		
Increased         11 (4.0)           Malnutrition risk         6 (2.1)           Normal         20 (7.0)           Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	No change	181 (65.6)		
Malnutrition risk         6 (2.1)           Normal         20 (7.0)           Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Increased	11 (4.0)		
Normal         0 (2.17)           Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Malnutrition risk	6(2,1)		
Mild         20 (7.0)           Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Normal	0 (2.1)		
Moderate         105 (37.0)           Severe         145 (51.1)	Mild	20 (7.0)		
Severe 145 (51.1)	Moderate	105 (37.0)		
	Severe	145 (51.1)		

<sup>a</sup>: Mean±SD; <sup>b</sup>: N(%)

Comparing differences within patients according to their BMI status showed that there were no significant differences according to age. hospitalization duration, and current food intake status. Only sex and cause of hospitalization showed significant differences. Most of male participants had normal weight and were hospitalized for non-GI disorders (P=0.001 and 0.031, respectively, Table 2). The scores obtained from weight, food intake, and symptoms sections of the questionnaire were higher in underweight patients in comparison to other BMI categories (Table 2).

Comparison of the same characteristics in each malnutrition status indicated that those with high risk of malnutrition were older (P= 0.023), had oral food intake (P=0.007) and normal BMI (P=0.001, Table 3).

DOR: 20.1001.1.24767417.2023.8.4.3.5 ]

Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2025-04-19

** • • •	Weight status					
variables	Underweight	Normal	Overweight	Obese	P-value <sup>2</sup>	
Age (year)	$61.94 \pm 18.00^{a}$	$58.66 \pm 20.38$	$57.68 \pm 18.47$	$54.63 \pm 13.60$	0.374	
Hospitalization duration (days)	$12.66\pm17.71$	$10.30\pm13.33$	$7.72\pm7.93$	$9.73 \pm 10.61$	0.835	
Weight score	$0.77\pm0.42$	$0.66\pm0.47$	$0.54\pm0.50$	$0.39\pm0.49$	0.008	
Food intake	$2.88 \pm 1.60$	$2.22 \pm 1.19$	$1.95 \pm 1.17$	$2.07 \pm 1.42$	0.041	
Symptoms score	$5.55\pm3.32$	$4.12 \pm 2.88$	$3.79 \pm 3.34$	$3.42 \pm 4.25$	0.023	
Activities and function score	$2.27 \pm 1.17$	$2.04 \pm 1.16$	$1.91 \pm 1.20$	$2.26 \pm 1.17$	0.276	
Sex					0.001	
Female	$4(1.5)^{b}$	43 (15.6)	40 (14.5)	26 (9.5)		
Male	14 (5.1)	82 (29.8)	54 (19.6)	12 (4.4)		
Cause of hospitalization					0.031	
GI disorders	8 (3.0)	53 (19.6)	30 (11.1)	7 (2.6)		
Non- GI disorders	9 (3.3)	71 (26.2)	62 (22.9)	31 (11.4)		
Current food intake status					0.314	
Oral intake	6 (2.8)	62 (28.6)	53 (24.4)	20 (9.2)		
Nutrition support	8 (3.7)	29 (13.4)	27 (12.4)	12 (5.5)		

#### **Table 2.** Characteristics of patients based on their weight status.

<sup>a</sup>: Mean±SD; <sup>b</sup>: N(c%), <sup>c</sup>: Obtained from Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitate and Chi-square test for categorical variables.

### Table 3. Characteristics of patients based on their malnutrition risk

		P-value <sup>c</sup>		
	Mild	Moderate	High	
Age (year)	$52.55 \pm 15.33^{a}$	$55.72 \pm 19.67$	$60.73 \pm 18.39$	0.023
Hospitalization duration (days)	$5.95\pm6.31$	$8.15\pm8.67$	$10.45\pm12.28$	0.246
Sex				0.560
Female	$10(3.7)^{b}$	38 (14.1)	62 (23.0)	
Male	10 (3.7)	67 (24.8)	83 (30.7)	
Cause of hospitalization				0.278
GI disorders	6 (2.3)	31 (11.7)	58 (21.8)	
Non- GI disorders	13 (4.9)	71 (26.7)	87 (32.7)	
Current food intake status				0.007
Oral intake	13 (22.2)	60 (22.2)	65 (22.2)	
Nutrition support	3 (22.2)	20 (22.2)	52 (22.2)	
Weight status				< 0.001
Underweight	0 (0.0)	3 (1.1)	15 (5.7)	
Normal	5 (1.9)	39 (14.9)	74 (28.4)	
Overweight	6 (2.3)	43 (16.5)	39 (14.9)	
Obese	8 (3.1)	14 (5.4)	15 (5.7)	

<sup>a</sup>: Mean±SD; <sup>b</sup>: N(c%), <sup>c</sup>: Obtained from Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitate and Chi-square test for categorical variables.

# Discussion

The study results indicated that in underweight participants, the prevalence of weight loss, poor food intake, and symptoms affecting eating enough was significantly higher in comparison to other BMI categories. However, normal BMI patients were more likely to be malnourished.

Poor socioeconomic resources, health conditions

(the disease per se), lack of timely diagnosis, and prescriptions for supplying nutritional needs of patients, can lead to hospital malnutrition (Waitzberg *et al.*, 2001). The prevalence of poorly nourished patients in the present study was 88.1%. This is somehow congruent with the results of Alzahrani and Alamri's study, in which 76.6% of the hospitalized patients had poor nutritional status (Alzahrani and Alamri, 2017). Martín-Palmero *et al.* reported that 56% of hospitalized patients were malnourished (Martín-Palmero *et al.*, 2017). However, this result was higher than the results of a multicenter cross-sectional study, in which the prevalence of malnutrition was 22.0% in hospitalized patients (Kang *et al.*, 2018). This high prevalence could be attributed to the inclusion of hospitalized patients in the study. In addition, patients' demographics and health status were different.

Despite the longer length of hospitalization in those with high risk of malnutrition, there was no difference between groups with varying degrees of malnutrition. Goncalves de Ávila et al. evaluated 130 cardiac patients and reported that malnutrition was positively associated with hospitalization longer than 7 days (Ávila et al., 2020). Kang et al. demonstrated a higher length of hospital stay in malnourished patients with different diseases (Kang et al., 2018). However, the current study finding is in agreement with the study by Thomas et al. conducted on 64 patients, in which they found no significant association between nutritional status and hospital length of stay according to SGA (Thomas et al., 2007).

Age was related to the risk of malnutrition. The mean age of patients at high risk of malnutrition was  $60.73\pm18.39$  years. In a study on 886 German patients in 13 hospitals, the prevalence of malnutrition was more among  $\geq 70$ -year old patients (Pirlich *et al.*, 2006). Kellett *et al.* found a significant positive relationship between malnutrition risk and age (Kellett *et al.*, 2016). This might be related to factors such as polypharmacy, declined senses of taste and/or smell, and cognition decline that can decrease food intake (Doty *et al.*, 1984, Shum *et al.*, 2005).

People with lower BMI are often expected to be at higher risk of malnutrition (Luma *et al.*, 2017) and high BMI may be a protective factor; however, overweight and/or obese patients may also be malnourished (Allard *et al.*, 2016). Malnourished participants of the study conducted by Feldblum *et al.* had a lower BMI in comparison to those at risk of malnutrition (Feldblum *et al.*, 2007). In the present study, it was demonstrated that patients at higher risk of malnutrition had normal BMI. This is in line with the results of Celik *et al.*, reporting that those who suffered from malnutrition (57.5%) were in the normal range of BMI (Celik *et al.*, 2021). This indicates that malnutrition screening should be performed in all hospitalized patients, regardless of their BMI. Only 6.3% of the patients included in the present study were underweight and most of them were normal or overweight.

This study has some limitations including small sample size, the heterogeneity of sample, and lack of access to laboratory data, which potentially could affect the generalizability of the findings. One of the strengths of this survey is applying a validated and reliable screening tool used in clinical setting. Further studies should be conducted particularly to determine problems affecting screening, assessment, and treatment of malnutrition.

### Conclusion

Malnutrition was highly prevalent among hospitalized patients, especially those who were older, had oral food intake, and normal BMI. Specific attention should be paid to nutritional status by efforts to identify concerns for malnutrition in health services planning. Moreover, training of the therapeutic team to provide proper nutritional support is also suggested as a solution to reduce problems caused by malnutrition.

#### Acknowledgment

We are grateful to thank all patients for their patience and participating in the study.

#### Funding

This project was supported by Arak University of Medical Sciences.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# **Authors' contributions**

Azizi-Soleiman F and Moradzadeh R designed the research; Vahid F conducted the research; Moradzadeh R analyzed the data; and Azizi-Soleiman F and Vahid F wrote the paper. Azizi-

DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v8i4.14002

Soleiman F had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# References

- Adams NE, Bowie AJ, Simmance N, Murray M & Crowe TC 2008. Recognition by medical and nursing professionals of malnutrition and risk of malnutrition in elderly hospitalised patients. *Nutrition & dietetics.* 65 (2): 144-150.
- Allard JP, et al. 2016. Malnutrition at hospital admission—contributors and effect on length of stay: a prospective cohort study from the Canadian Malnutrition Task Force. *Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. **40** (**4**): 487-497.
- Allison SP 2000. Malnutrition, disease, and outcome. *Nutrition*. 16 (7-8): 590-593.
- Alzahrani SH & Alamri SH 2017. Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among hospitalized elderly patients in King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *BMC geriatrics.* **17** (1): 1-7.
- Ávila NGd, Carneiro JU, Alves FD, Corrêa
   IVdS & Vallandro JP 2020. Prevalence of Malnutrition and Its Association with Clinical Complications in Hospitalized Cardiac Patients: Retrospective Cohort Study. *International journal of cardiovascular sciences.* 33: 629-634.
- Barker LA, Gout BS & Crowe TC 2011. Hospital malnutrition: prevalence, identification and impact on patients and the healthcare system. *International journal of environmental research and public health.* 8 (2): 514-527.
- Braunschweig C, Gomez S & Sheean PM 2000. Impact of declines in nutritional status on outcomes in adult patients hospitalized for more than 7 days. *Journal of the American dietetic association.* **100 (11)**: 1316-1322.
- Celik ZM, Islamoglu AH, Sabuncular G, Toprak HS & Gunes FE 2021. Evaluation of malnutrition risk of inpatients in a research and training hospital: A cross-sectional study. *Clinical nutrition ESPEN.* **41**: 261-267.
- **Chandra RK** 1997. Nutrition and the immune system: an introduction. *Americanjjournal of clinical nutrition.* **66** (2): 460S-463S.

- Correia MIT, Perman MI & Waitzberg DL 2017. Hospital malnutrition in Latin America: A systematic review. *Clinical nutrition.* **36** (4): 958-967.
- **Detsky AS, et al.** 1987. What is subjective global assessment of nutritional status? *Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. **11** (1): 8-13.
- Doty RL, et al. 1984. Smell identification ability: changes with age. *Science*. **226** (**4681**): 1441-1443.
- Feldblum I, et al. 2007. Characteristics of undernourished older medical patients and the identification of predictors for undernutrition status. *Nutrition journal.* 6 (1): 1-9.
- Ferguson M, Capra S, Bauer J & Banks M 1997. Coding for malnutrition enhances reimbursement under casemix-based funding. *Australian journal of nutrition and dietetics*.
- **Guyonnet S & Rolland Y** 2015. Screening for malnutrition in older people. *Clinics in geriatric medicine.* **31 (3)**: 429-437.
- Holmes S 2007. The effects of undernutrition in hospitalised patients. *Nursing standard.* 22 (12): 35-39.
- Kang MC, et al. 2018. Prevalence of malnutrition in hospitalized patients: a multicenter crosssectional study. *Journal of Korean medical science*. 33 (2).
- Kellett J, Kyle G, Itsiopoulos C, Naunton M & Luff N 2016. Malnutrition: the importance of identification, documentation, and coding in the acute care setting. *Journal of nutrition and metabolism.* 2016.
- Kruizenga HM, et al. 2005. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of early screening and treatment of malnourished patients. *American journal of clinical nutrition.* 82 (5): 1082-1089.
- Kubrak C & Jensen L 2007. Malnutrition in acute care patients: a narrative review. *International journal of nursing studies.* **44** (6): 1036-1054.
- Luma HN, et al. 2017. Malnutrition in patients admitted to the medical wards of the Douala General Hospital: a cross-sectional study. *BMC research notes*. **10** (1): 1-6.

- Martín-Palmero Á, Serrano-Pérez A, Cámara-Balda A, Villar-García G & <sup>a</sup> del Mar Marín-Lizárraga M 2017. Malnutrition in hospitalized patients: results from La Rioja. *Nutricion hospitalaria.* 34 (2): 402-406.
- McKinlay A 2008. Malnutrition: the spectre at the feast. *Journal of the Royal College of physicians of edinburgh.* **38** (4): 317-321.
- Naber TH, et al. 1997. Prevalence of malnutrition in nonsurgical hospitalized patients and its association with disease complications. *American journal of clinical nutrition*. **66** (5): 1232-1239.
- Pirlich M, et al. 2006. The German hospital malnutrition study. *Clinical nutrition*. **25** (4): 563-572.
- Schindler K, et al. 2010. How nutritional risk is assessed and managed in European hospitals: a survey of 21,007 patients findings from the 2007–2008 cross-sectional nutritionDay survey. *Clinical nutrition.* 29 (5): 552-559.
- Scrimshaw NS & SanGiovanni JP 1997. Synergism of nutrition, infection, and immunity: an overview. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* **66** (2): 464S-477S.

- Shahabbasi J, et al. 2018. Cross Cultural Adaptation and Validation of the" Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA)" for Nutritional Status Assessment of Cancer Patients. *Depiction of health.* **9** (3): 149-158.
- Shum N, Hui W, Chu F, Chai J & Chow T 2005. Prevalence of malnutrition and risk factors in geriatric patients of a convalescent and rehabilitation hospital. *Hong Kong medical journal.* **11 (4)**: 234-242.
- Tannen A & Lohrmann C 2013. Malnutrition in Austrian hospital patients. Prevalence, risk factors, nursing interventions, and quality indicators: a descriptive multicentre study. *Journal of advanced nursing*. **69** (8): 1840-1849.
- **Thomas J, Isenring E & Kellett E** 2007. Nutritional status and length of stay in patients admitted to an Acute Assessment Unit. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics.* **20** (4): 320-328.
- Waitzberg DL, Caiaffa WT & Correia MIT 2001. Hospital malnutrition: the Brazilian national survey (IBRANUTRI): a study of 4000 patients. *Nutrition.* **17** (**7-8**): 573-580.