Journal of **Nutrition and Food Security**

Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences School of Public Health Department of Nutrition Nutrition & Food Security Research Center



PISSN: 2476-7425 pISSN: 2476-7417 JNFS 2022; 7(3): 407-419 Website: jnfs.ssu.ac.ir

Green Tea Supplement in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Azadeh Lesani; MSc ¹, Fatemeh Sharafi; MSc ², Mahsa Hatami; MSc ³ & Sakineh Shab-Bidar; PhD ^{*1}

ARTICLE INFO

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW and META-ANALYSIS

Article history:

Received: 8 Apr 2021 Revised: 30 Aug 2021 Accepted: 15 Sep 2021

*Corresponding author:

s_shabbidar@tums.ac.ir Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Science and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Postal code: 14155/6117 **Tel**: +98 21 88955975

ABSTRACT

Background: Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common metabolic disorder among age reproductive women. It could result in anovulation, infertility insulin resistance, and obesity. Dietary intake especially antioxidant components may improve some disorders. The current study is the first meta-analysis to assess the effect of green tea, a source of antioxidants, on anthropometric and insulin among women with PCOS. Methods: In this meta-analysis, the databases of PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Cochran, and Web of Science were searched up to March 2019. The I-square (I₂), a statistical measure of heterogeneity, was used to assess the heterogeneity. Egger's test was used for the assessment of publication bias. Results: Green tea reduced weight -3.07 kg (-6.53 to -0.44, P = 0.03), fasting insulin -0.50 mIU/1 -3.72 (-5.16 -2.28, P = 0.001), waist to hip ratio (WHR) -0.04 (-0.06 to -0.017, P = 0.001), body mass index -0.32 to kg/cm² (-1.63) to 1, P = 0.09), and body fat percentage -1.13(-5.30 to 3.04, P = 0.51). Conclusion: The green tea supplement has some mild decreasing effect on weight, WHR, and fasting insulin significantly. It seems green tea could improve weight and glycemic control in women with PCOS.

Keywords: Green tea; Herbal tea; Catechin; PCOS; Polycystic ovary Syndrome

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a complex endocrine disorder in reproductive age women by the prevalence of 3-10% in the world (Wolf *et al.*, 2018). The etiology of PCOS is not certainly cleared, but genetics, obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperinsulinemia, hyper androgenic, and increased oxidative stress have been studied in previous studies as contributing factors (Barbieri

and Ryan, 1983, Glintborg and Andersen, 2010). PCOS is characterized by symptoms of anovulation (irregular menstrual cycle, amenorrhea or oligomenorrehea), infertility, hyperandrogenism (hirsutism, acne or alopecia), insulin resistance, and obesity (Speroff and Fritz, 2005). The anovulatory infertility could have resulted in some common outcomes like female reproductive,

This paper should be cited as: Lesani A, Sharafi F, Hatami M, Shab-Bidar S. Green Tea Supplement in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Nutrition and Food Security (JNFS), 2022; 7(3): 407-419.

¹ Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Science and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

² Department of Human Nutrition, School of Health, Qazvin University of Medical Services, Qazvin, Iran.

³ Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutritional Science and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

metabolic, cardiovascular, and psychological disorders. According to the Rotterdam 2003 consensus, two out of three following criteria are required for the diagnosis of this syndrome: oligoor anovulation, clinical or biochemical hyperandrogenism, and polycystic ovaries on ultrasound (ESHRE and Group, 2004).

Insulin resistance prevalence are 50-75% among obese and lean PCOS (Dunaif et al., 1989) due to defect in insulin signaling (serine kinase insulin receptor subunit). Insulin play an important role in increased and frequency of Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH) and Luteinizing Hormone (LH) pulse secretion on hypothalamushypophis- ovary and adrenal signaling (Sekar et al., 2000). In addition, hyperinsulinemia affects Steroidogenic Acute Regulatory Protein the (StAR), carrying cholesterol into mitochondria, leading more androgen production (Sekar et al., 2000). Androgens increases beta-hydroxy steroid dehydrogenase and hormone-sensitive lipase in visceral adipose tissue (VAT), which leads to an increase in free fatty acids and the secretion of inflammatory cytokines from VAT (De Pergola, 2000).

Green tea includes catechins components belonging to a family of flavonols. Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), epigallocatechin, are epicathechin are flavonols found in green tea extract (Khan and Mukhtar, 2007). Green tea had some beneficial effect on preventing coronary heart disease, diabetes (Sikand et al., 2015), promoting insulin resistance, metabolic disease, enhancing metabolic rate and fat-burning ability (Diepvens et al., 2007, Dinh et al., 2019), increasing energy expenditure (Dulloo et al., 1999), and anti-oxidants and anti- hypertensive effects (Garcia et al., 2017). Vivo and in vitro studies showed significant reduction in fasting plasma glucose, insulin, dyslipidemia, and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in diabetic rats (Babu et al., 2006, Quine and Raghu, 2005). In spite of this experimental fact, there were controversial results in randomized clinical trials. A meta- analysis on randomized clinical showed trials (RCTs) significant reduction plasma glucose concentration and promoting insulin sensitivity (Liu et al., 2013). Another meta-analysis displayed no significant mean change in weight loss, wait circumference, fat mass percentage, and body mass index (BMI) with green tea extract consumption (Baladia et al., 2014). However, a recent metaanalysis demonstrated a significant mean change in weight, BMI, and waist circumference, but not in body fat percentage after the intervention by green tea among adult population (Golzarand et al., 2018). Also another recent meta-analysis did not show significant change in body weight, BMI, waist circumference, waist hip ratio, and body fat overweight percentage among and nondiabetic females after green tea products intervention (Lee et al., 2019). So far, no and meta-analysis systematic review investigated the effect of green tea on metabolic profile among women with PCOS. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the effect of green tea supplementation on anthropometric measures and fasting insulin among women with PCOS.

Materials and Methods

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) was used to guide the systematic review of the literature (**Supplementary Figure 1**)(Moher *et al.*, 2009).

Search strategy and study selection: comprehensive search was conducted PubMed/Medline, Scopus, Cochran, and Web of Science until 13 March 2019. The combination of following key words, including text words and Medical Subject Headings (Mesh) were searched for green tea extract, ECGC, catechine and PCOS, Stein Leventhal Syndrome, polycystic ovarian syndrome. Randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and random allocation were (Supplementary Table 1). The reference list of all related articles and reviews were also checked. The search was limited to published studies in English.

Eligibility and study selection: Two independent authors (Lesani A and Sharafi F) reviewed titles and abstracts of all randomized clinical trial studies. Studies were included in this review if they (1) were in RCT design, 2) with diagnosis of

PCOS by the presence 2 of 3 features: oligo- or anovulation, clinical or biochemical evidence of hyperandrogenism, and transvaginal ultrasound features showing more than 12 immature follicles less than 10 mm on either ovary among young women aged more than 18 years, (3) had interventions, such as green tea/green tea extract, (4) compared oral intervention supplement vs. placebo, (5) measured and reported at least one of the anthropometric measures, including weight, BMI, waist circumference and waist to hip ratio (WHR), percentage of body fat (PBF), and insulin fasting as outcomes. Some studies were excluded, including (1) animal studies or observational studies, (2) studies without placebo groups, (3) studies compared low-dose vs. high dose of supplement, (4) studies conducted in children, adolescents (aged < 18 y, and >55 y), pregnant or lactating women, (5) studies on chronic illness, and (6) research studies which were conducted on decaffeinated green tea vs. caffeinated green or placebo.

Data extraction and assessment for study quality: Two independent authors (Lesani A and Sharafi F) reviewed full text of selected eligible studies and extracted the following information: first author's name, publication year, study name, country, mean age and/or age range, number of participants/cases, mean and standard deviation (SD) of body weight, BMI, WC and PBF at baseline, end of the intervention and their changes from baseline, dosage, and length of intervention. Risk of bias was assessed in the included study (n=3). Two studies had low risk in all categories and one study had unclear bias in blindness of participants, and outcomes (figure 1). Additional information was requested by correspondence. resolved discrepancies were through discussion under the supervision of the third author (Shab-Bidar S).

Data synthesis and analysis: Meta-analysis index was mean and standard deviations (SD) of body weight, BMI, PBF, WHR ratio, and insulin fasting changes from baseline. The following formula was used to estimate the SD based on

studies in which the standard error of the mean (SEM) was reported: (SD=SEM $\times \sqrt{n}$) (n= number of participants). In studies that reported the upper and lower limit using 95% confidence intervals (CI), the SD was determined using the following formula: (SD= $\sqrt{n} \times \frac{\text{(upper limit-lower limit)}}{2.22}$). If there was heterogeneity among the included studies, the random-effect model would be performed to calculate the pooled effect size; or the fixed effect model would be used. Heterogeneity investigated by using I-squared (I2) and Tau-The most squared (τ_2) . commonly used heterogeneity measure was I₂. Egger's regression symmetry test was used to determine publication bias. To investigate the effect of studies on the pooled effect size, sensitivity analysis was run. Meta-regression analyses were conducted to assess the source of heterogeneity. Age, dose, and length of intervention were determined as a source of heterogeneity. All statistical analysis performed using STATA software version 12 (STATA Corp, College station, Texas).

Results

The flow diagram of literature search is shown in Figure 2. Systematic search identified 56 articles (21 articles in PubMed, 35 articles in Scopus) and 9 articles were identified through bibliography search with 17 duplicates. Thirty three other articles were considered as irrelevant, which were excluded at initial screening of title and abstract. Of the 15 remaining articles, 11 articles were excluded by full-text assessment. Detailed reasons for exclusions are presented in Figure 2. One study conducted combined intervention of lifestyle and 2 studies herbal medicine (Arentz et al., 2017). Three randomized clinical trials studies (Allahdadian et al., 2015, Chan et al., 2006, Mombaini et al., 2017) (data of one study reported in two different articles) (Allahdadian et al., 2015, Tehrani et al., 2017) and 1 crossover study were identified (Tomatis et al., 2015). Finally, 3 studies (including paricipants, 70 in the intervention group and 69 in the placebo group) were included for the metaanalysis. Characteristics of included studies are

presented in Table 1.

Meta-analysis: Three studies investigated the effect of green tea supplement on body weight (Allahdadian et al., 2015, Chan et al., 2006, Mombaini et al., 2017) , two studies on WHR ratio, BMI, body fat percentage (Chan et al., 2006, Mombaini et al., 2017) , and fasting insulin (Allahdadian et al., 2015, Chan et al., 2006) . Table 2 showed the effect of green tea on anthropometric measurement and fasting insulin.

Forest plot of studies that assessed the effect of green tea/green tea extract on weight, BMI, WC, PBF, and fasting insulin are displayed in **Figures 3–6**, respectively. Green tea/green tea extract

significantly reduced body weight by -3.07 kg (-6.53 to -0.44, P = 0.03), fasting insulin -3.724 mIU/l (-5.16 to -2.28, P = 0.001), WHR (-0.04 (-0.06 to -0.017, P = 0.001). However, no significant changes were found in BMI -0.32 to kg/cm² (-1.63 to 1, P = 0.09) and PBF -1.13 (-5.30 to 3.04, P = 0.51). There was no heterogeneity among studies on body weight ($I^2=1.13\%$, P = 0.56), BMI ($I^2=0.1\%$, P = 0.94), WHR ($I^2=0.0\%$, P = 1), PBF ($I^2=0.8$, P = 0.77), and fasting insulin ($I^2=0.0\%$, P = 0.75).

Publication bias: There was an evidence of publication bias for Egger's asymmetry test (P = 0.04) or Begg's test (P = 0.35).




Figure 1. Risk of bias overall diagram




Figure 2. Flow chart of article processing

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

Author	Year	Country	Type of study	Number Intervention/Place bo	Mean (SD) age	Daily dosage	Follow-up	Outcomes
Chan, et al.	2006	Hong Kong	Randomized placebo controlled Study	34 18/16	34.8 (4.2)	540 mg/d Green tea extract capsule	12 Week	No significant reduction in BMI in green tea group Significant rise in BMI, weight, and body fat in the control group No difference in hormonal level No significant reduction in amenorrhoeic
Mombaini, et al.	2017	Iran	Randomized double- blind controlled Study	45 22/23	23.2 (5.2)	500 mg/d Green tea capsule	6 Week	Significant reduction in BMI, weight, and body fat in green tea group No significant change in inflammation marker level (IL6,TNF-a, h-CRP)
Allahdadian, et al.	2015	Iran	Randomized controlled study	60 30/30	30.0(5.0)	500 mg/d Green tea tablet	12 Week	Significant reduction in weight, fasting insulin, and free testosterone in green tea group

Mean age of participants was 34±3.35 y. Dose range of green tea extract capsule were 500-540 mg/day, mean duration of intervention was 10±2 week (6-12 week).

Table 2. The effect of green tea on body weight, body mass index, body fat, waist hip ratio, and fasting insulin.

Variables	Effect size (95%CI)	P Significant	P Heterogeneity	I^{2} (%)
Body weight	-3.07 (-5.700.44)	0.022	0. 568	1.13
Body mass index	-0.17 (-1.50 - 1.14)	0.792	0.940	0.01
Body fat	-0.55 (-4.24 - 3.12)	0.766	0.778	0.08
Waist hip ratio	-0.04 (-0.060.01)	0.001	1	0.0
Fasting insulin	-3.72 (-5.162.28)	0.001	0.756	0.0




Figure 3. Forest plot for the effect of green tea supplement on body weight




Figure 4. Forest plot for the effect of green tea supplement on BMI




Figure 5. Forest plot for the effect of green tea supplement on body fat percentage




Figure 6. Forest plot for the effect of green tea supplement on fasting insulin

Discussion

The results of current meta-analysis show that green tea supplement significantly reduced body weight, WHR, and fasting insulin, without any beneficial effects on BMI and PBF. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis with pooled effect of green tea extract on

weight, BMI, WHR, and fasting insulin among women with PCOS.

Caffeine and catechins are main compounds of green tea. There are several mechanisms for body weight-lowering effects of green tea. Enzyme catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) plays an important role in the degradation of

catecholamines, especially norepinephrine which suppresses the sympathetic nerve system (SNS). Green tea catechins inactivate COMT activity resulted in longer norepinephrine shelf-life, which delays the inhibition of SNS, subsequently and thermogenesis stimulating fat oxidation (Cardoso et al., 2013, Janssens et al., 2013). mechanism is known decreasing intestinal fat absorption and increasing fecal fat loss because of reduction in gastric and pancreatic lipase activity (Juhel et al., 2000). Moreover, catechins can increase norepinephrine levels in hypothalamus, which result in suppressing appetite and induction satiety (Auvichayapat et al., 2008). Green tea may upregulate gene expression of enzymes involved in energy expenditure and fat metabolism stimulating by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator1-alpha (PGC)-1a (Roberts et al., 2015). In addition, caffeinated green tea suppresses the enzyme phosphodiesterase (PDE) which may result in weight loss. PDE could degrade cyclic AMP (cAMP) to increase norepinephrine levels then stimulates SNS (Dulloo et al., 1992). Therefore, inactivation of PDE by caffeine increases SNS activity, promoting fat oxidation and thermogenesis (Auvichayapat et al., 2008).

In line with the study findings, a meta-analysis was conducted on 11 studies in 2009 which showed green tea significantly decreased body weight by -1.31 kg (-2.05 to -0.57, P < 0.001) (Hursel et al., 2009) among overweight and obese adults. Another meta-analysis on 14 studies (including 1562 people) reported that there was a significant weight loss after consumption of green tea supplement (-0.95 kg; 95% CI: -1.76 to -0.14) (Jurgens et al., 2012). However, due to high rate of heterogeneity in the latter meta-analysis, authors categorized studies into two subgroups, including Japan and other countries. Their findings revealed that green tea had no effect on weight, BMI, and WC in other countries rather than Japan. In studies conducted in Japan, body weight significantly reduced after green tea supplement (-1.44 kg; 95% CI: -2.38 to -0.51) with no changes in BMI and WC. However, the later study included RCTs using green tea/green tea extract vs. placebo. These two meta-analyses included studies which applied catechins green tea vs. placebo and high-dose vs. low dose catechins green tea supplements. In addition, they removed studies with a follow-up of less than 12 weeks that may affect results. Another meta-analysis on 5 studies (including 310 people) found no change on weight (-0.78 kg; 95% CI: -2.31 to 0.75); however, BMI reduced (-0.31 kg/m^2 ; 95% CI: -0.88 to 0.27), which were in line with the present study. Another meta-analysis investigated the effect of green tea extract on insulin sensitivity in population at risk of type 2 diabetes in 2014, and fasting serum insulin did not significant reduction [Standard mean difference (SMD) -0.09; 95% CI -0.30 to 0.11) (Wang et al., 2014). In another meta-analysis among type 2 diabetes green tea did not show significant association with fasting insulin (SMD, -0.25; 95% CI, -0.64 to 0.15) (Yu et al., 2017). A meta-analysis of cohort studies indicated that green tea consumption more than 4 cups were associated with a reduction of type 2 diabetes risk (RR, 0.96; 95% (CI), 0.92-1.01) (Jing et al., 2009).

Conclusion

The findings showed that consumption of green tea extract may reduce body weight, WHR, and insulin level in women with PCOS.

Funding

This study did not receive any grants from any agencies.

Authors' contributions

Lesani A and Shab-Bidar S contributed to design of the research; Lesani A, Sharafi F and Hatami M contributed to, analysis, or interpretation of the results; Lesani A and Hatami M drafted the manuscript; Shab-Bidar S critically revised the manuscript; and Shab-Bidar S agree to be fully accountable for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- **Allahdadian M, et al.** 2015. Exploring the effect of green tea on weight loss and serum hormone levels in overweight and obese patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Avicenna journal of clinical medicine*. **22 (1)**: 16-22
- Arentz S, et al. 2017. Combined Lifestyle and Herbal Medicine in Overweight Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS): A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Phytotherapy research.* 31 (9): 1330-1340.
- **Auvichayapat P, et al.** 2008. Effectiveness of green tea on weight reduction in obese Thais: A randomized, controlled trial. *Physiology & behavior.* **93** (3): 486-491.
- **Babu PVA, Sabitha KE & Shyamaladevi CS** 2006. Green tea impedes dyslipidemia, lipid peroxidation, protein glycation and ameliorates Ca2+-ATPase and Na+/K+-ATPase activity in the heart of streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Chemico-biological interactions.* **162** (2): 157-164.
- Baladia E, Basulto J, Manera M, Martinez R & Calbet D 2014. Effect of green tea or green tea extract consumption on body weight and body composition; systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutricion hospitalaria*. 29 (3): 479-490.
- **Barbieri RL & Ryan K** 1983. Hyperandrogenism, insulin resistance, and acanthosis nigricans syndrome: a common endocrinopathy with distinct pathophysiologic features. *American journal of obstetrics gynecology.* **147** (1): 90-101.
- Cardoso GA, Salgado JM, Cesar MdC & Donado-Pestana CM 2013. The effects of green tea consumption and resistance training on body composition and resting metabolic rate in overweight or obese women. *Journal of medicinal food.* **16** (2): 120-127.
- Chan CC, et al. 2006. Effects of Chinese green tea on weight and hormonal and biochemical profiles in obese patients with polycystic ovary syndrome—a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of the society for gynecologic investigation.* 13 (1): 63-68.

- **De Pergola G** 2000. The adipose tissue metabolism: role of testosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone. *International journal of obesity.* **24 (S2)**: S59.
- **Diepvens K, Westerterp KR & Westerterp- Plantenga MS** 2007. Obesity and thermogenesis related to the consumption of caffeine, ephedrine, capsaicin, and green tea. *American journal of physiology-Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology*.
- **Dinh TC, et al.** 2019. The effects of green tea on lipid metabolism and its potential applications for obesity and related metabolic disorders-an existing update. *Diabetes & metabolic syndrome:* Clinical research & reviews. **13** (2): 1667-1673.
- **Dulloo AG, et al.** 1999. Efficacy of a green tea extract rich in catechin polyphenols and caffeine in increasing 24-h energy expenditure and fat oxidation in humans. *American journal of clinical nutrition.* **70** (6): 1040-1045.
- **Dulloo AG, Seydoux J & Girardier L** 1992. Potentiation of the thermogenic antiobesity effects of ephedrine by dietary methylxanthines: adenosine antagonism or phosphodiesterase inhibition? *Metabolism.* **41** (**11**): 1233-1241.
- Dunaif A, Segal KR, Futterweit W & Dobrjansky A 1989. Profound peripheral insulin resistance, independent of obesity, in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Diabetes.* **38** (9): 1165-1174.
- **ESHRE TR & Group A-SPCW** 2004. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertility and sterility*. **81** (1): 19-25.
- **Garcia ML, et al.** 2017. The antioxidant effects of green tea reduces blood pressure and sympathoexcitation in an experimental model of hypertension. *Journal of hypertension.* **35** (2): 348-354.
- **Glintborg D & Andersen M** 2010. An update on the pathogenesis, inflammation, and metabolism in hirsutism and polycystic ovary syndrome. *Gynecological endocrinology.* **26** (**4**): 281-296.
- Golzarand M, Toolabi K & Aghasi M 2018. Effect of green tea, caffeine and capsaicin supplements on the anthropometric indices: A

- meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of functional foods.* **46**: 320-328.
- Hursel R, Viechtbauer W & Westerterp-Plantenga MS 2009. The effects of green tea on weight loss and weight maintenance: a meta-analysis. *International journal of obesity.* 33 (9): 956.
- Janssens PL, Hursel R, Martens EA & Westerterp-Plantenga MS 2013. Acute effects of capsaicin on energy expenditure and fat oxidation in negative energy balance. *PloS one.* 8 (7).
- **Jing Y, et al.** 2009. Tea consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Journal of general internal medicine*. **24** (5): 557-562.
- **Juhel C, et al.** 2000. Green tea extract (AR25®) inhibits lipolysis of triglycerides in gastric and duodenal medium in vitro. *Journal of nutritional biochemistry.* **11** (1): 45-51.
- **Jurgens TM, et al.** 2012. Green tea for weight loss and weight maintenance in overweight or obese adults. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews.* (12).
- **Khan N & Mukhtar H** 2007. Tea polyphenols for health promotion. *Life sciences.* **81** (7): 519-533.
- Lee W, Lee D, Han E & Choi J 2019. Intake of green tea products and obesity in nondiabetic overweight and obese females: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of functional foods.* 58: 330-337.
- **Liu K, et al.** 2013. Effect of green tea on glucose control and insulin sensitivity: a meta-analysis of 17 randomized controlled trials. *American journal of clinical nutrition.* **98** (2): 340-348.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG & Group P 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS med.* 6 (7): e1000097.
- Mombaini E, Jafarirad S, Husain D, Haghighizadeh MH & Padfar P 2017. The impact of green tea supplementation on anthropometric indices and inflammatory cytokines in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Phytotherapy research.* 31 (5): 747-754.

- Quine SD & Raghu PS 2005. Effects of (-)-epicatechin, a flavonoid on lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in streptozotocin-induced diabetic liver, kidney and heart. *Pharmacological reports.* 57 (5): 610-615.
- Roberts JD, Roberts MG, Tarpey MD, Weekes JC & Thomas CH 2015. The effect of a decaffeinated green tea extract formula on fat oxidation, body composition and exercise performance. *Journal of the international society of sports nutrition.* 12 (1): 1.
- Sekar N, Garmey JC & Veldhuis JD 2000. Mechanisms underlying the steroidogenic synergy of insulin and luteinizing hormone in porcine granulosa cells: joint amplification of pivotal sterol-regulatory genes encoding the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor, steroidogenic acute regulatory (stAR) protein and cytochrome P450 side-chain cleavage (P450scc) enzyme. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology.* **159** (1-2): 25-35.
- **Sikand G, Kris-Etherton P & Boulos NM** 2015. Impact of functional foods on prevention of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *Current cardiology reports.* **17 (6)**: 39.
- **Speroff L & Fritz MA** 2005. Clinical gynecologic endocrinology and infertility. lippincott Williams & wilkins.
- **Tehrani H, Allahdadian M, Zarre F, Ranjbar H & Allahdadian F** 2017. Effect of green tea on metabolic and hormonal aspect of polycystic ovarian. *Journal of education and health promotion.* **6** (36).
- **Tomatis V, et al.** 2015. Effect of green tea and coffee polypenol on cardiometabolic function in woman with polycystic ovary syndrome. *FASEB Journal*
- Wang X, et al. 2014. Effects of green tea or green tea extract on insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control in populations at risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Journal of human nutrition and dietetics*, 27 (5): 501-512.
- Wolf WM, Wattick RA, Murray PJ, Clemmer M & Olfert MD 2018. Future implications of using registered dietitians in multidisciplinary

[DOR: 20.1001.1.24767417.2022.7.3.3.6]

polycystic ovary syndrome treatment. In *Healthcare*, p. 144. MDPI.

Yu J, Song P, Perry R, Penfold C & Cooper AR 2017. The effectiveness of green tea or green tea

extract on insulin resistance and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes and metabolism journal.* **41 (4)**: 251-262.

Sapplementary_Figure 1:

TITLE Title 1 Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both. 1 ABSTRACT Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis of review registration number. Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. 2 Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Search Information sources Search Search Study Selection Data Collection process Data items Individual studies List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., 1°) for each meta-analysis. Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., 1°) for each meta-analysis.
Title ABSTRACT Structured summary 2 Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. INTRODUCTION Rationale 3 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. 2 Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). METHODS Protocol and registration Seligibility criteria for eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. 2 Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). 8 Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. 1 List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be use
Structured summary 2 sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. INTRODUCTION Rationale 3 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. 2 Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). METHODS Protocol and registration 5 Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Eligibility criteria 6 criteria 7 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. 2 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, conclusions and surplications being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, conclusions and study design (PICOS). 2 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, conclusions and study design (PICOS). 2 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, onclusions number. 2 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, onclusions and the context of study design (PICOS). 3 provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participan
Structured summary 2 sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. **NTRODUCTION** Rationale 3 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. 2 Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). **METHODS** Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched. Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. 3 Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of 14 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, and the late of studies, if done, and the process of studies, if done, and the provide registration of studies and combining results of studies, if done, and the late of studies in the first par
INTRODUCTION Rationale 3 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). METHODS Protocol and registration Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched. Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. Data items List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, is relative presence of consistence (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).
Objectives4Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).2METHODSProtocol and registration5Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number.2-3Eligibility criteria6Eligibility, giving rationale.PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.3Information sources8Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.3Search8State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).3DataDescribe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.3Data items11List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.3Risk of bias in individual studies12Secribe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.4Synthesis of14Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including includes in land the proce
METHODS Protocol and registration Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Information sources Search Search Search Study selection Data Describe and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. Data items Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched. Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including information is to be used in any data synthesis. State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). 4 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of s
Protocol and registration Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Information sources Search Search Study Search Study State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).
address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Eligibility criteria Information sources Search Search Study Study State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. Synthesis of address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. 2-3 address), and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., piloted, scriteria and report characteristics (e.g., piloted, scriteria and scriteria and for eligibility, giving rationale. Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. 3 Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, including and data and combining results of studies, if done, including and data and combining results of studies, if done, including and data and combining results of studies, if done, including and and summary and and and and combining results of studies, if done, including and and and and combining results of studies, if done, including and and and combining results of studies, if done, included in suddies
criteria 6 characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Information sources 7 Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched. Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. Study selection Data Collection Data Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. Data items 11 List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of 14 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including including and combining results of studies, if done, including included in the meta-analysis).
sources Search Search Study selection Data Collection Data items Data items This individual Six of bias in individual Studies Synthesis of Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated. Study State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis). Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including information is to be used in any data synthesis. State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). 4 Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of experiences of experience
Study selection Data Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes Data items Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including individual studies information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, is playing measures Synthesis of State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systemeta. 3 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systemeta-analysis). 3 Bescribe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. 3 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systemeta-analysis). 3 Bescribe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate in any data from investigators. 3 3 3 3 4 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systemeta-analysis). 3 3 4 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in the meta-analysis). 3 3 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in the meta-analysis). 3 3 3 4 State the process for selecting studies (i.e., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate in systems (e.g., piloted f
Study selection Data Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators. Data items Data items Data items Data items Data items Data items 11 List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including individual studies Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, 14 Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, 15 Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, 16 Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, 17 Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, Describe methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, Describe methods of parallely for each mate analysis.
collection process Data items 11 List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Risk of bias in individual periodic methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including individual studies information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, including data and combining results of studies, including data and combining results
Data items 11 List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, described the methods of handling data and combining results of studies.
individual studies studies information is to be used in any data synthesis. Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done,
Summary measures Synthesis of Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, A
$\frac{14}{100}$ including massures of consistency (e.g. $\frac{12}{100}$) for each mate analysis
10suito
Risk of bias across studies Additional analyses 15 Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies). Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.
analyses meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified. RESULTS
Study selection Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram. 4-5
Study characteristics 18 For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.
Risk of bias within studies Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).
Results of For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple individual summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence studies intervals, ideally with a forest plot.
Synthesis of results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency. 5-8
Risk of bias 22 Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15). 8

across studies			
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	8
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	9
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	9-10
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	10

Supplementary Table 1: Search strategy

Search strategy in PubMed			
Concept 1#	Concept 2#	Concept 3#	
PCOS [Mesh]	Tea"[Mesh]	Randomized Trials [Mesh]	
Syndrome, Polycystic Ovary [Mesh]	EGCG [Mesh]	Randomized Controlled Trial [Mesh]	
Syndrome, Polycystic Ovarian	catechin [Mesh]	Random Allocation [Mesh]	
[Mesh]			
Stein Leventhal Syndrome [Mesh]	Tea Polyphenols [Mesh]	Randomised Controlled trials [Mesh]	
Ovary Syndrome, Polycystic [Mesh]	Green Tea Extract [Mesh]	Clinical Trial [Mesh]	
Salaraavatia Overy Syndroma [Mach]	Too [tiph]	Non-Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic	
Sclerocystic Ovary Syndrome [Mesh]	Tea [tiab]	[Mesh]	
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome [Mesh]	Green Tea Oral [tiab]	Controlled Clinical Trials [Mesh]	
Ovarian Syndrome, Polycystic	Tea Supplement [tiab]	Randomized Clinical Trial [tiab]	
[Mesh]			
PCOS [tiab]	Green Tea Extract [tiab]	Controlled Clinical Trials [tiab]	
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome [tiab]	Tea Polyphenols [tiab]	Placebo [tiab]	
PCOS [tiab]	EGCG [tiab]	Randomised Controlled Trials [tiab]	
Stein-Leventhal Syndrome [tiab]	catechin [tiab]	Randomized Trial [tiab]	
	"epigallocatechin gallate" [tiab]	Clinical Trial [tiab]	
		RCT[tiab]	
		Randomised [tiab]	