Journal of Nutrition and Food Security
Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences
School of Public Health
Department of Nutrition
Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences
School of Public Health
Nutrition Department
eISSN: 2476-7425 pISSN: 2476-7417 JNFS 2026; 11(3): 337-339 Website: jnfs.ssu.ac.ir

The Fragility of Interdependence: A Perspective on Geopolitical Risk and the 2026 Iran War Oil Shock

Genesis Gregorious Genelza; PhD

Department of Teacher Education & Basic Education Department, University of Mindanao Tagum College Tagum City, Philippines.

ARTICLE INFO

EDITORIAL ARTICLE

Article history:
Received: 28 Apr 2026
Revised: 3 Jun 2026
Accepted: 28 Jun 2026

Corresponding author:
genesis.genelza@umindanao.edu.ph
Department of Teacher Education & Basic Education Department, University of Mindanao Tagum College Tagum City, Philippines.

Postal code: 8100
Tel: +63 9193834883

Keywords:
Geopolitical risk; Energy security; 2026 Iran war; Oil shock; Strait of Hormuz.

ABSTRACT

The 2026 Iran War oil shock stands for a tectonic shift in the global energy paradigm, transcending traditional supply demand fluctuations to expose the visceral vulnerabilities of a hyper-interconnected global economy. Following the outbreak of hostilities in late February 2026 and the subsequent closure of the Strait of Hormuz on March 4, the global market witnessed a supply loss of approximately 12 million barrels per day, or nearly 11.5 percent of global demand. This article provides a critical perspective on the confluence of maritime insecurity, the failure of strategic reserves to dampen volatility, and the "weaponization" of energy transit. By analyzing the unique characteristics of this crisis - specifically its simultaneous impact on crude oil, liquefied natural gas (LNG), and refined fuels - the authors argue that the 2026 shock necessitates a fundamental decoupling of energy security from geographic chokepoints. Furthermore, this perspective evaluates the asymmetrical impact on the Global South and the accelerated, albeit chaotic, pivot toward decentralized energy systems.

Introduction

For decades, the specter of a total blockade in the Strait of Hormuz remained a theoretical "black swan" event, often dismissed by market optimists as a geopolitical impossibility due to the principle of mutually assured economic destruction. However, the events of early 2026 have shattered this complacency. The 2026 Iran War - precipitated by a cascade of diplomatic failures and regional escalations - has triggered the largest supply disruption in the history of the global oil market, surpassing the shocks of 1973, 1979, and 1990 combined (Deloitte Czech Republic, 2026).

This is not merely a price spike; it is a structural fracturing. As Brent crude surged past $120 per barrel in the weeks following the blockade, the crisis revealed that the global energy architecture is far more brittle than post-pandemic recovery models suggested. This article examines the 2026 shock through the lens of "radical geopolitical risk," arguing that the current crisis is a harbinger of a new era where energy

This paper should be cited as: Gregorious Genelza G. ***The Fragility of Interdependence: A Perspective on Geopolitical Risk and the 2026 Iran War Oil Shock.*** *Journal of Nutrition and Food Security (JNFS)*, 2026; 11(4): 339-339.

[Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-11-07]

[DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v11i3.22148]

transit is no longer a guaranteed global utility but a primary theater of war.

The anatomy of the shock: Beyond crude oil

Unlike the 20th-century oil crises, which were primarily defined by the withholding of crude oil production, the 2026 shock is characterized by its multidimensionality. The closure of the Strait of Hormuz did more than strand 20 million barrels of daily oil flow; it paralyzed the global liquefied natural gas (LNG) market and disrupted the flow of refined petroleum products (Oxford Economics, 2026).

The LNG paralysis

The 2026 crisis is the first "modern" shock to hit a world heavily reliant on gas for electricity generation and heating. Qatar's declaration of force majeure on its LNG exports in March 2026 sent shockwaves through Europe and Asia. For economies like Japan and South Korea, which rely on the Gulf for over 50 percent of their LNG, the war was not just an economic burden but an existential threat to grid stability (Catholic, 2026). This shift from "oil security" to "energy security" highlights a critical evolution: the world is now equally vulnerable to molecules as it is to barrels.

Geopolitical risk as a permanent variable

The 2026 Iran War has forced a re-evaluation of how markets price "geopolitical risk." Traditionally, risk premiums were temporary additives that decayed as soon as diplomacy resumed. In 2026, however, the risk has become embedded. The IEA's characterization of this conflict as the "greatest global energy security challenge in history" stems from the realization that even a ceasefire does not restore trust (Singh, 2026).

The failure of traditional buffers

One of the most sobering lessons of the 2026 shock is the inadequacy of Strategic Petroleum Reserves (SPRs). While the United States and IEA member nations released millions of barrels to stabilize the market in early March, the sheer volume of the Hormuz disruption - approximately 20 percent of global supply - overwhelmed these buffers (Kilian *et al.*, 2026). The "just-in-time" supply chain philosophy that dominates modern logistics proved fatal when the primary artery of global energy was severed.

"The asymmetrical impact on the global south

While the European Central Bank and the Federal Reserve adjusted interest rates to combat "war-flation," the Global South faced a more visceral crisis. For developing nations with thin welfare systems and high fuel subsidies, the $120 oil floor meant choosing between energy access and food security (Martin, 2026).

The 2026 shock has exposed an "asymmetry of state capacity." Nations that had built diverse energy portfolios survived the initial shock, whereas those tethered to fossil fuel imports saw their currencies collapse and inflation reach double digits by April 2026. This has reignited the debate over the "energy transition" not as a climate goal, but as a national security imperative.

Strategic re-alignment: The end of the old order

The 2026 Iran War oil shock marks the definitive end of the post-Cold War energy order. The assumption that the U.S. Navy would indefinitely underwrite the security of global energy lanes has been tested and found wanting under the weight of modern asymmetric warfare (Khan, 2026).

The rise of regional energy blocs

In response to the 2026 crisis, we are seeing the emergence of "fortress energy" policies. China's acceleration of overland pipelines from Russia and Central Asia, and Europe's desperate integration of North African green hydrogen, are no longer long-term projects; they are immediate survival strategies. The 2026 shock has proved that energy security is now synonymous with geographic proximity and political alignment.

Conclusion

The 2026 Iran War oil shock is a brutal reminder that interdependence, while economically efficient, is strategically perilous. The lesson of this crisis is clear: the global community cannot afford to have a single point of failure. To mitigate future shocks,

[Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-11-07]

[DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v11i3.22148]

CC BY-NC 3.0

the global energy architecture must move toward:

1. Redundant transit: Developing alternative routes that bypass traditional chokepoints like Hormuz.
2. Decentralized production: Transitioning to localized renewable sources to reduce reliance on long-distance maritime transport.
3. Unified strategic reserves: Creating a globalized, coordinated reserve system that includes LNG and refined products, not just crude.

The fire in the Persian Gulf in 2026 has illuminated the cracks in our global system. Whether we choose to rebuild it with greater resilience or remain hostages to geography will define the economic stability of the next decade.

References

Catholic W 2026. Why is gas still so expensive? The real reason prices stay high even when "ships are getting through", https://medium.com/@SeanPeteMike/ why-is-gas-still-so-expensive-4b8e1c8fc4a7.

Deloitte Czech Republic 2026. Oil shock 2026,http:// www.deloitte.com/cz-sk/en/mnaport/articles/ clanky-tydne/2026/ropny-sok-2026.html. In *Deloitte Czech Republic*.

Khan S 2026. Iran war triggers record energy shock as global supply disruption surpasses historic crises, https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2026/04/22/iran-war-triggers-record-energy-shock-as-global-supply-disruption-surpasses-historic-crises/.

Kilian L, Plante M & Richter AW 2026. What the closure of the Strait of Hormuz means for the global economy, https://www.dallasfed.org/research/economics/2026/0320.

Martin N 2026. Will Iran war reshape global trade more than COVID?, https://globalnation.inquirer.net/319575/will-iran-war-reshape-global-trade-more-than-covid.

Oxford Economics 2026. How the Iran war is reshaping commodity markets in 2026, https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/resource/how-the-iran-war-is-reshaping-commodity-markets- in-2026/.

Singh J 2026. A new oil crisis stress- tests the global energy transition.

[Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-11-07]

[DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v11i3.22148]

CC BY-NC 3.0