Journal of Nutrition and Food Security
Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences
School of Public Health
Department of Nutrition

eISSN: 2476-7425 pISSN: 2476-7417 JNFS 2026; 11(3): 335-336 Website: jnfs.ssu.ac.ir

Genetically Modified Crops in Asia: Why We Need a Clearer, Smarter Conversation

Genesis Gregorious Genelza; PhD

Department of Teacher Education & Basic Education Department, University of Mindanao Tagum College Tagum City, Philippines.

ARTICLE INFO

EDITORIAL ARTICLE

Article history:
Received:24 Apr 2026
Revised: 26 Apr 2026
Accepted: 28 May 2026

Corresponding author
genesis.genelza@umindanao.edu.ph
Department of Teacher Education & Basic Education Department, University of Mindanao Tagum College Tagum City, Philippines.

Postal code: 8100
Tel: +63 9193834883

Keywords
Morphological characteristics; Food security; Mineral content; Nutritional composition.

ABSTRACT

The editorial argues that the debate over genetically modified (GM) crops in Asia has become too polarized and needs a more grounded, fact-based discussion. With growing populations, climate change, and shrinking farmland, GM crops are presented as a useful but imperfect tool that could help improve food security and support farmers. Evidence from several Asian countries shows that these crops can increase yields, reduce pesticide use, and even address nutritional deficiencies, offering real benefits especially to small-scale farmers. However, the letter also stresses that these advantages should not overshadow legitimate concerns. Questions about long-term health effects, environmental risks like cross-pollination, and the rise of resistant pests highlight the need for careful regulation. Beyond science, there are also socio-economic issues, particularly the growing dependence of farmers on patented seeds controlled by large corporations, which may threaten traditional farming practices. In the end, the author calls for a balanced approach—neither fully embracing nor rejecting GM crops. Instead, governments should focus on strong regulations, transparency, and farmer empowerment while protecting local biodiversity. The future of GM crops in Asia, the letter concludes, depends on responsible governance that puts both people and the environment first.

The ongoing debate over genetically modified (GM) crops in Asia deserves more than polarized rhetoric. It deserves a grounded, transparent conversation focused on facts, good governance, and the dual aims of food security and ecological sustainability. As populations swell, arable land shrinks, and climate change threatens traditional farming, GM crops have begun to emerge not only as a scientific innovation, but also as a potential linchpin for feeding millions more. Yet they come with real questions and trade-offs that demand rigorous scrutiny. This letter aims to outline both the potential benefits and risks of GM crops in Asia and to advocate for a balanced yet decisive path forward.

Across Asia, GM crops continue to generate intense debate. A debate that often feels louder than it is informed. With climate pressures mounting, populations rising, and farmers facing increasingly unpredictable harvests, the region urgently needs a thoughtful, balanced conversation about what biotechnology can and cannot offer.

This paper should be cited as: Genelza GG. ***Genetically Modified Crops in Asia: Why We Need A Clearer, Smarter Conversation.*** *Journal of Nutrition and Food Security (JNFS)*, 2026; 11(3): 335-336.

[Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-11-08]

[DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v11i3.22147]

GM crops are neither a silver bullet nor a villain. They are a tool - powerful, imperfect, and in need of responsible governance.

For many Asian farmers, the appeal of GM crops is not theoretical. In countries such as China, India, Pakistan, and the Philippines, GM cotton and maize have already demonstrated measurable reductions in pesticide use and increases in yields. Bt cotton, for instance, provided Chinese farmers with an effective response to bollworms that had grown resistant to conventional pesticides, reducing both chemical use and financial losses. Studies across multiple regions have consistently reported that GM crops can reduce production costs and enhance profitability for small-scale farmers (Fu, 2024).

These benefits are particularly significant in a region where smallholder farmers form the backbone of the food production sector. In countries like Bangladesh and Vietnam, where landholdings are shrinking and climate disruptions are becoming the norm, having access to pest-resistant or drought-tolerant varieties could help stabilize food supply and protect rural livelihoods. GM crops may also offer nutrition-related benefits. Golden Rice, engineered to increase vitamin A levels, was specifically designed to address vitamin A deficiency, a major concern in parts of South and Southeast Asia (GRAIN 2022).

But acknowledging these real advantages does not mean ignoring the legitimate questions. Critics argue that long-term health studies remain limited, and concerns about allergenicity or unintended effects - even if unsupported by current evidence - warrant continued scientific scrutiny (Raza, 2024). The environmental implications are equally pressing. Cross-pollination with indigenous crop varieties, potential impacts on non-target organisms, and the emergence of herbicide-resistant weeds are all documented risks that require strong monitoring and regulation.

Perhaps more concerning for Asia, are the socio-economic dynamics. Seeds engineered and patented by multinational corporations can concentrate power in ways that small farmers find difficult to navigate. In a region where seed saving, exchange, and community-based farming traditions run deep, farmers worry about dependency on seed companies and the erosion of local varieties. These concerns are not anti-science: they are rooted in lived experience.

So where does this leave us?

Asia needs a middle path, one that rejects both uncritical adoption and blanket rejection. Governments must strengthen biosafety regulations, ensure transparent data sharing, and facilitate public participation in the decision-making process. Farmers should have access to unbiased training and be free to choose between GM and non-GM varieties without political or corporate pressure. At the same time, conserving local crop biodiversity must remain a priority, not an afterthought.

GM crops may well play an important role in Asia's agricultural future, but whether that future is equitable, safe, and sustainable depends entirely on how we govern them today. Biotechnology should serve the public good, and that means embracing science while insisting on accountability.

Conflict of interests

I declare no competing interests.

References

Fu J 2024. The long-term impact of GMO plants on agricultural economy: Opportunities and Challenges. *GMO biosafety research.* **15 (2)**.

GRAIN 2022. GMOs in Asia : What's happening and who's fighting back?, https://grain.org/system/articles/pdfs/000/006/863/original/Asia%20GMO%20update.pdf?1657710456.

Raza A 2024. Genetically modified crops: benefits, risks, and regulatory perspectives. *Frontiers in agriculture.* **1 (2)**: 252-282.

[Downloaded from jnfs.ssu.ac.ir on 2026-11-08]

[DOI: 10.18502/jnfs.v11i3.22147]

CC BY-NC 3.0