



The Effect of Moderate Intensity CrossFit Training on Serum Vitamin D Levels and Anthropometric Measures in Overweight/Obese Adult Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Fereshteh Dehghanpour Farashah; MSc Student^{*1} & Abdolmajid Emami; PhD²

¹ Department of Physical Education, Taft Branch, Islamic Azad University, Taft, Yazd, Iran; ² Department of Physical Education, Meybod Branch, Islamic Azad University, Meybod, Yazd, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Article history:

Received: 24 Jan 2026

Revised: 10 May 2026

Accepted: 21 May 2026

*Corresponding author:

fa.zd2010@gmail.com

Department of Physical Education, Taft Branch, Islamic Azad University, Taft, Iran

Postal code: 8965151567

Tel: +98 9138530614

Keywords:

CrossFit training; Trial

Vitamin D; Obesity

Anthropometric measures.

ABSTRACT

Background: Vitamin D deficiency is common among women, particularly those who are overweight or obese. There is evidence that physical activity benefits on body vitamin D status. Therefore, this study assessed the effect of CrossFit training on serum 25(OH)D₃ levels and anthropometric measures in women. **Methods:** This randomized clinical trial enrolled 28 overweight/obese adult women. Participants were randomly allocated to a CrossFit (n=14) or a non-intervention group (n=14) for 8 weeks. Participants were then asked to complete CrossFit training for 1 hour, 3 times per week. Fasting levels of 25(OH)D₃ and anthropometric measurements, including weight, height, body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), lean body mass (LBM), and body fat mass (BFM), were measured for each participant at the beginning and end of the study. **Results:** In total, 24 women (12 participants in the CrossFit and 12 participants in the non-intervention group) completed the trial. After 8 weeks of intervention, it was found a significant increase in serum 25(OH)D₃ levels in the CrossFit group compared with other group (1.11±0.45 ng/ml in the CrossFit group vs. 0.19±0.44 ng/ml in the non-intervention group, $P<0.01$). Furthermore, in the CrossFit group, significant decreases were found in body weight, BMI, and WHR and a significant increase in LBM, compared with the non-intervention group ($P<0.05$). **Conclusion:** Findings indicate that 8 weeks of CrossFit training can improve vitamin D levels and anthropometric measures in overweight/obese adult women.

Introduction

The prevalence of obesity is increasing at an alarming rate (Engin, 2017). It has been estimated that 66% of adults in the US are overweight or obese (Elagizi *et al.*, 2020). In addition, obesity is prevalent among more than 50% of Iranian adults (Rahmani *et al.*, 2015). Obesity is associated with multiple adverse

outcomes such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and mortality (Piché *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, micronutrient deficiencies are other complications of obesity (Pellegrini *et al.*, 2021). Among the deficiencies, vitamin D deficiency has received significant attention (Fiamenghi and Mello, 2021). A meta-analysis showed that vitamin

This paper should be cited as: Dehghanpour Farashah F, Emami A. *The Effect of Moderate Intensity CrossFit Training on Serum Vitamin D Levels and Anthropometric Measures in Overweight/Obese Adult Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial*. *Journal of Nutrition and Food Security (JNFS)*, 2026; 11(4): 479-488.

D deficiency was 35% higher in obese subjects compared to the normal-weight group (Pereira-Santos *et al.*, 2015). Hence, finding effective strategies to control vitamin D levels in obese individuals is of great importance.

Several approaches, including vitamin supplementation, food fortification, and high exposure to sunlight, have been suggested for controlling vitamin D deficiency (Holick, 2017, Holick *et al.*, 2011). However, these approaches may be costly and impose complications for people (Kennel *et al.*, 2010). For instance, exposure to sunlight may increase the risk of skin cancers (Holick, 2020). Recently, it has been suggested that physical activity may affect plasma nutrient levels, particularly serum 25(OH)D₃ (Fernandes and Barreto, 2017). In a review article, Maïmoun *et al.* concluded that physical activity can increase vitamin D metabolites through influencing calciotropic hormones (Maïmoun and Sultan, 2009). Also, it has been shown that different training programs can affect vitamin D levels and body composition (De-la *et al.*, 2022, Zhang and Cao, 2022).

CrossFit training is a form of cardiovascular exercise that alternates between short bursts of intense anaerobic activity and periods of lower-intensity recovery or rest (Claudino *et al.*, 2018). It is proposed that CrossFit training has a greater beneficial effect on body composition and other health parameters than regular exercise (Meyer *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, because CrossFit training requires a shorter time commitment than regular exercise, the commitment to doing it increases (Schlegel, 2020).

Based on the literature search, the authors found no studies investigating the effect of CrossFit training on serum 25(OH)D₃ levels in adults. However, there is evidence for other types of exercises. In a randomized controlled trial (RCT) involving male adolescents aged 12 to 16 years, Haghshenas *et al.* reported that 8 weeks of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) could increase serum concentrations of 25(OH)D₃ (Haghshenas *et al.*, 2019). However, in a meta-analysis of RCTs, Khan *et al.* concluded that physical activity has no

significant effect on serum 25(OH)D₃ (Khan *et al.*, 2024). Investigating the effect of CrossFit training on serum 25(OH)D₃ is particularly relevant among Iranian women with special coverage and lower exposure to sunlight (Tabrizi *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, vitamin D deficiency is more common among Iranian women compared to Western women (Lips, 2007). This study aimed to investigate the effects of 8 weeks CrossFit training on serum vitamin D levels and anthropometric measures in overweight/obese adult women in Iran.

Materials and Methods

Participants

This is a randomized clinical trial conducted in Yazd, Iran. Twenty-eight healthy overweight/obese adult women were voluntarily enrolled in this study. The participants were recruited through invitations in local media and on social networks. Then, individuals interested in participating in the current study were first assessed against inclusion and exclusion criteria; those who met the criteria were included. Inclusion criteria in this study were: (1) women aged between 30 and 50, (2) body mass index (BMI) between 25 and 35, (3) being sedentary (<20 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity on 3 days in a week over the past 3 months). Participants were omitted if they were: (1) suffering from chronic cardio metabolic diseases, cancer, chronic kidney disease (CKD), diabetes mellitus, (2) taking any medications related to chronic diseases, (3) having an acute illness that negatively affects sport performance, (4) taking vitamin D or other nutrient supplements during the past 6 months, (5) adhering to a weight loss diet, (6) and being in pregnancy and lactation periods. During the study periods, the authors excluded participants who did not adhere to the administered interventions, those with bone or muscle injuries during the intervention, those unwilling to continue the trial, and those who took a vitamin D supplement during the trial.

Sample size calculation

Considering a type I error of 5% ($\alpha=0.05$), a type II error of 20% ($\beta=0.20$, power=80%), and

vitamin D level as the key variable, the authors manually calculated the required sample size using the following formula. The mean and standard deviation of vitamin D levels were extracted from the Malandish *et al.* study (Malandish *et al.*, 2020). Overall, based on the formula and given a 10% dropout rate in each group, the study included 14 individuals per group.

$$n = \frac{2[(a + b)^2 \times \sigma^2]}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}$$

n =sample size in each group; μ_1 =mean for vitamin D level in group 1 (mean=11.42 ng/ml); μ_2 = mean for vitamin D level in group 2 (mean=5.34 ng/ml); σ =the highest SD for mean concentrations of vitamin D level (SD=5.28); a =conventional multiplier for alpha = 0.05 that was 1.96; b =conventional multiplier for power = 0.80 that was 0.842.

Study design

After screening for eligibility, 28 participants were enrolled according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria. All participants underwent medical evaluations by a specialist and completed a sports medicine questionnaire to confirm eligibility. Qualified participants were randomly allocated into two groups: 1) Exercise group ($n=14$): CrossFit training (3 days/week, 1 hour/session for 8 weeks) and 2) Non-intervention group ($n=14$): Maintained normal daily activities. Moreover, stratified simple randomization was used for random allocation. Before randomization, women were stratified by age (30 to 40 and 40 to 50 years), and women within the same age group were randomly allocated to the two groups using a lottery. To randomize, an identification code was assigned to each eligible woman, and the code of a woman in the same age group was placed in the lottery container; finally, women in the same age group were randomly assigned to the CrossFit or Non-intervention groups. A person who was unaware of the aim of this study conducted the random allocation. To assess serum 25(OH)D₃ levels, a fasting 5 ml blood sample was collected from each participant at the beginning and 48 hours after the last training session. Also, anthropometric measures were assessed at baseline and at the end of the trial (1 day after the last training session). To adjust exposure to sunlight,

the training group performed CrossFit training in an indoor gym. Participants were asked not to change their habitual diet or sun exposure throughout the study. In addition, individuals in the CrossFit and Non-intervention groups were asked to continue their usual physical activity throughout the trial, as they had before the trial.

To control dietary intakes during the trial and before the study, all participants completed a validated Food Frequency Questionnaire at the end of the study (Moridpour *et al.*, 2022). Then, the authors converted the dietary reports to grams using household measures available in booklets. To estimate nutrient intakes from these dietary intakes, they used Nutritionist IV software (based on the US National Nutrient Databank) modified for Iranian foods.

Intervention

CrossFit training methodology is fundamentally based on the systematic integration of three primary exercise modalities: weightlifting (utilizing external loads), gymnastics (employing bodyweight resistance), and metabolic conditioning (high-intensity cardiovascular exercises) (Kliszczewicz *et al.*, 2015). These modalities are implemented either independently or in combination to optimize both absolute and relative strength development (Schlegel, 2020). The traditional CrossFit session structure consists of three core components: warm-up, preparatory phase, and the Workout of the Day (WOD), though contemporary programming has expanded to include additional modality-specific skill development segments (Gong *et al.*, 2025).

In the 8-week intervention protocol, each training session followed a standardized structure beginning with a 10-minute dynamic warm-up comprising stretching and locomotor exercises. The core training component involved circuit-style implementation of combined modalities performed in multiple rounds with active recovery intervals. Sessions concluded with a 10-minute cool-down period. In addition, exercise intensity was progressively increased from 60-65% of target heart rate and 60% of One-repetition maximum (1RM) in

weeks 1-2 to 65-70% of target heart rate and 70% of 1RM in weeks 3-8. The program incorporated diverse movement patterns, including weight-bearing exercises (squats, deadlifts, thrusters), bodyweight movements (push-ups, sit-ups, back extensions), and cardiorespiratory activities (running, rope skipping), performed in both loaded and unloaded variations.

Vitamin D assessment

After 12 hours of fasting, a 5 ml blood sample was collected from all participants at study baseline and 48 hours after the last training session. To control for the effect of physical activity on 25(OH)D₃ levels, participants in both groups were asked to avoid strenuous physical activity 24 hours before blood sampling. Serum was isolated from the whole blood and was stored at -20 °C until further analysis. A direct competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method was then used to measure serum concentrations of 25(OH)D₃ (Pishtazteb, Iran) (Hollis and Horst, 2007). According to the results, vitamin D levels of <20 ng/ml were considered deficient, 20-30 ng/ml insufficient, 30-100 ng/ml sufficient, and >100 ng/ml toxic (Holick *et al.*, 2011).

Anthropometric measures

Weight was measured using a digital scale, with the subject in a state of minimum clothing and without shoes, to the nearest 100 grams. Standing height was measured using a standard stadiometer, without shoes, to the nearest 0.5 cm. BMI was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in meters) squared. To measure other anthropometric measures, including waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), lean body mass (LBM), and body fat mass (BFM), the bio-electrical impedance analysis (BIA) method using a Tanita body composition analyzer (Model BC-418MA) was used.

Ethical considerations

All participants provided oral and written informed consent after reading and understanding the details of the exercise programs and the experimental procedures. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Islamic Azad

University, Yazd, Iran (registration No: 392435).

Data analysis

All analyses were conducted in SPSS version 21 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The authors first examined the normality of continuous variables using skewness and performed a Log transformation for non-normally distributed variables. The authors also used a paired-samples t-test to assess the effect of CrossFit training on outcome variables within a group. To assess differences between the CrossFit and Non-intervention groups on continuous variables and changes in outcome variables, the researchers used independent-samples *t*-tests. In addition, Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to assess the effect of CrossFit training on outcome variables by controlling for baseline measurements and energy intake during the trial. In addition, since the baseline weight was significantly different between the two groups, the authors controlled for the variable in the analysis of vitamin D. For anthropometric measures, baseline weight was not adjusted because it was collinear with baseline values of the anthropometric measures. Since dietary intakes of some nutrients differed between the CrossFit and control groups, the researchers controlled for energy intake to account for these differences. Also, a p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

From the 28 participants at baseline, two in the CrossFit training group (due to Non-adherence to the study protocol) and two in the Non-intervention group (due to personal reasons and unwillingness) were excluded from the study. Therefore, 24 participants [CrossFit training group (n=12) and Non-intervention group (n=12)] completed the trial (**Figure 1**). In this trial, all participants in the intervention group attended all training sessions, resulting in a 100% adherence to the intervention protocol.

The baseline data of participants in the intervention and Non-intervention groups are shown in **Table 1**. There was no statistically significant difference in age, height, and BMI

between the two groups. However, women in the CrossFit group had significantly greater weight than the control group ($P=0.02$). The dietary intakes of women in the CrossFit training and Non-intervention groups are presented in **Table 2**. Accordingly, women in the CrossFit training group had higher intakes of energy, carbohydrates, fat, calcium, vitamin C, saturated fatty acids (SFAs), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) than those in the Non-intervention group. No significant differences were found for other dietary factors.




Figure 1. Flowchart of selection of study participants

The effects of CrossFit training on serum levels of 25(OH)D₃ and anthropometric measures in women are shown in **Table 3**. Based on within-group comparison, adherence to the CrossFit training significantly increased 25(OH)D₃ levels and LBM and reduced WHR, BFM, and BMI throughout the trial. In the between-group comparison, the observed changes in the CrossFit training group were significant compared to the Non-intervention group. When analyses were controlled for baseline measurements and energy intake throughout the trial, we found no changes in any outcomes. However, the reduction in WHR due to CrossFit training was marginally significant ($P=0.05$).

Discussion

The results of this study showed that CrossFit training significantly increased vitamin D levels compared to the Non-intervention group. The findings also indicated that CrossFit training caused a significant reduction in WHR, BFM, and BMI and a significant increase in LBM in overweight/obese adult women. However, the effect of CrossFit training on WHR was marginally significant.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of women participated in the current study.

Variables	CrossFit (n=12)	Non-intervention (n=12)	P-value ^a
Age (year)	38.16±7.98	37.75±7.02 ^b	0.89
Weight (kg)	82.40±13.03	71.25±8.43	0.02
Height (cm)	163.00±7.37	158.66±5.48	0.11
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.72±3.23	28.22±2.15	0.19

BMI: Body mass index; ^a: Obtained from the independent sample t test; ^b: Mean ± SD.

Table 2. Dietary intakes of women participated in the current study.

Variables	CrossFit (n=12)	Non-intervention (n=12)	P-value ^a
Energy (kcal)	2624.07±452.61	2246.40±183.51	0.01
Protein (g/d)	82.07±13.80 ^b	74.19±10.79	0.13
Carbohydrate (g/d)	379.86±63.73	329.82±51.35	0.04
Fat (g/d)	89.46±19.25	72.51±14.43	0.02
Fiber (g/d)	18.60±2.41	14.86±1.63	<0.001
Calcium (mg/d)	607.15±121.31	480.97±79.30	0.00
Vit C (mg/d)	106.73±15.80	74.58±13.4	<0.001
Vit D (µg/d)	2.24±1.50	2.00±0.81	0.64
MUFA (g/d)	32.78±7.96	26.71±6.18	0.04
PUFA (g/d)	22.67±4.93	17.73±2.90	0.00
SFA (g/d)	24.54±5.18	20.41±4.62	0.05

MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid; **PUFA:** Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid; **SFA:** saturated fatty acid; ^a: Obtained from the independent; ^b: Mean ± SD.

Vitamin D deficiency is common among women, particularly among those with overweight and obese (Rodríguez-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2009). Vitamin D deficiency is associated with an

increased risk of osteoporosis, infections, autoimmune and cardiovascular diseases, depression, and mental disorders (Johnson and Thacher, 2023). Vitamin supplementation is the best way to control vitamin D deficiency (Pludowski *et al.*, 2024). However, the influence of other methods, such as physical activity, has long been a topic of debate among researchers (Al-Othman *et al.*, 2012). Previous studies have shown that some types of physical activity, such as HIIT, may favorably affect serum 25(OH)D₃ (Haghshenas *et al.*, 2019). Based on the authors' knowledge, no study has investigated the effect of

CrossFit training on serum 25(OH)D₃ concentrations in obese women. This is the first study on this topic, in which the researchers observed that CrossFit training significantly increased serum 25(OH)D₃ levels in obese women. In line with the findings, Haghshenas *et al.* reported that HIIT for 3 days per week over 8 weeks could significantly increase serum 25(OH)D₃ levels in adolescents (Haghshenas *et al.*, 2019). Also, in another clinical trial, Sun *et al.* showed that 12 weeks of resistance training could enhance plasma vitamin D levels in young men (Sun *et al.*, 2020).

Table 3. The effect of CrossFit training on serum levels of 25(OH)D₃ and anthropometric measures in women with overweight or obesity.

Variables	CrossFit				Non-intervention				P-value ^b
	Before	After	Change	P-value ^a	Before	After	Change	P-value ^a	
25(OH)D ₃ (ng/ml)	26.12±12.04	27.24±11.99	1.11±0.45	<0.001	25.95±9.37	26.14±9.62	0.19±0.44	0.16	<0.001
Weight (kg)	82.40±13.03	80.15±13.14	-2.25±1.01	<0.001	71.25±8.43	71.40±8.32	0.16±0.56	0.35	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.72±3.23	28.90±3.27	-0.82±0.37	<0.001	28.22±2.15	28.29±2.13	0.06±0.20	0.27	<0.001
LBM (%)	29.55±6.11	30.00±6.06	0.45±0.23	<0.001	25.43±2.71	25.41±2.75	-0.16±0.32	0.86	<0.001
BFM (%)	34.55±6.13	33.44±6.17	-1.10±0.17	<0.001	34.64±3.25	34.81±3.26	0.17±0.64	0.37	<0.001
WHR	0.89±0.49 ^c	0.88±0.05	-0.01±0.00	<0.001	0.88 ± 0.06	0.89 ± 0.06	0.00±0.00	0.58	0.03

^a: Paired sample t test; ^b: Independent sample t test; ^c: Data are presented as mean ± SD; **BMI**: Body mass index; **LBM**: Lean body mass; **BFM**: Body fat mass; **WHR**: Waist-to-hip ratio.

Table 4. Adjusted mean for the effect of CrossFit training on serum levels of 25(OH)D₃ and anthropometric measures in women with overweight or obesity.

Variables	CrossFit (n=12)	Non-intervention (n=12)	P-value ^a
25(OH)D ₃ (ng/ml)	0.96±0.15 ^b	0.35±0.15	0.02
Weight (kg)	-1.97±0.25	-0.12±0.25	<0.001
BMI kg/m ²)	-0.73 ± 0.08	-0.02±0.08	<0.001
LBM (%)	0.41±0.10	0.01±0.10	0.02
BFM (%)	-0.89±0.21	-0.04±0.21	0.01
WHR	-0.01±0.003	-0.001±0.003	0.05

BMI: Body mass index; **LBM**: Lean body mass; **BFM**: Body fat mass; **WHR**: waist-to-hip ratio; ^a: covariance (ANCOVA) adjusted for baseline measurements and energy intake during the study. For 25(OH)D₃, the authors additionally adjusted for baseline weight; ^b: Mean ± SE.

In contrast to the mentioned studies, a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical

trials concluded that physical activity interventions have no significant effect on serum 25(OH)D₃ concentrations, independent of the time spent outdoors (Khan *et al.*, 2024). The most important reason for the observed disparity is the different types of physical activities administered in the previous studies. For example, most studies included in the systematic review administered low-intensity exercise interventions, whereas all studies that administered high-intensity exercise showed a significant increase in serum 25(OH)D₃ concentrations. Also, in most studies included in the systematic review, serum 25(OH)D₃ concentrations were assessed as a secondary outcome. In addition, differences in population features, sample sizes, and the lack of adjustment for confounding variables are other reasons for the observed discrepancy.

It has been hypothesized that physical activity

may influence serum 25(OH)D₃ levels by increasing fat metabolism, thereby releasing vitamin D and/or its metabolites from adipose tissue (Vázquez-Lorente *et al.*, 2020). Numerous observational studies have supported this hypothesis, showing positive associations between physical activity and 25(OH)D₃ concentrations or vitamin D status (Koda *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, physical activity may indirectly influence vitamin D levels by altering hormonal activity. There is evidence regarding the increasing effect of physical activity on parathyroid hormone (PTH) release. This effect can increase vitamin D absorption in the gut (Peeling *et al.*, 2013). It has also been shown that people with physical training have greater calcium absorption than those with a sedentary lifestyle. This might demonstrate the mediating role of vitamin D levels in the relationship between physical activity and intestinal calcium absorption (Zittermann *et al.*, 2000).

The results showed that an 8-week CrossFit training program can significantly reduce weight, BMI, BFM, and WHR, and increase LBM in obese women. These effects of CrossFit training are consistent with several studies indicating that physical activity improves anthropometric measures in adults. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, Donoghue *et al.* illustrated that physical activity programs can decrease weight and improve other anthropometric measures in adults. Moreover, this systematic review revealed that combining high-intensity aerobic exercise with resistance training has greater effects on anthropometric measures than low-intensity exercises alone (O'Donoghue *et al.*, 2021). Seo *et al.* reported that twelve weeks of resistance training elicited substantial improvements in body composition, muscle hypertrophy, and blood lipid profiles in middle-aged obese women (Seo *et al.*, 2023). Similarly, Feito *et al.* found that an eight-week high-intensity functional training protocol significantly enhanced glucose regulation and reduced adiposity in overweight individuals (Feito *et al.*, 2019). In total, CrossFit training is a good choice for improving anthropometric measurements.

This study had some strengths; it was the first randomized clinical trial to examine the impact of CrossFit training on serum 25(OH)D₃ levels in overweight and obese women. In this study, the authors controlled for baseline measurements and energy intake to reduce the effects of confounding variables. In addition, adherence to the intervention was 100% in this study. Despite the strengths, the study had some limitations. The sample size was small, which prevented the researchers from conducting subgroup analyses by obesity status and other important confounders. The usual physical activity during the study could not be assessed; however, participants were asked not to change their physical activity compared to the time before the study. Furthermore, sunlight exposure was not examined in this study, although Iranian women usually have similar exposure to sunlight because of the special clothing they wear. However, individual differences in sunlight exposure may still occur. Variations in outdoor activities, lifestyle, and seasonal sunlight may have influenced serum vitamin D levels, and consequently, the findings of this study.

Conclusion

It was found that CrossFit training significantly increased 25(OH)D₃ in overweight and obese women. In addition, this type of exercise could improve anthropometric measures in this population. Further studies with larger sample size are needed to confirm the findings in this regard. Future studies can examine the influence of indoor and outdoor physical activity on vitamin D levels in adults.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all individuals and organizations who contributed to this research. They also would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which significantly improved the quality of this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

Emami A and Dehghanpour Farashah F contributed to study conception and study design. Dehghanpour Farashah F contributed to data

collection and administration of interventions. Emami A and Dehghanpour Farashah F were involved in data interpretation and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. Emami A and Dehghanpour Farashah F were involved in study conception, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript drafting, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript. The corresponding authors confirmed that all authors met the criteria for authorship and that no eligible authors were omitted.

Conflicts of interest

Authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The study was supported by the Islamic Azad University, Meybod, Iran.

References

- Al-Othman A, et al.** 2012. Effect of physical activity and sun exposure on vitamin D status of Saudi children and adolescents. *BMC Pediatrics*. **12**: 92.
- Claudino J, et al.** 2018. CrossFit overview: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports medicine open*. **4** (1): 11.
- De-la O, Jurado-Fasoli L, Castillo M, Gutiérrez Á & Amaro-Gahete F** 2022. Effect of exercise training on 1,25(OH)(2)D levels: The FIT-ageing randomized controlled trial. *Sports health*. **14** (4): 518-526.
- Elagizi A, Kachur S, Carbone S, Lavie C & Blair S** 2020. A review of obesity, physical activity, and cardiovascular disease. *Current obesity reports*. **9** (4): 571-581.
- Engin A** 2017. The definition and prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome. In *Obesity and lipotoxicity. Advances in experimental medicine and biology* (ed. A. Engin), pp. 1-17. Springer, Cham.
- Feito Y, Patel P, Sal Redondo A & Heinrich K** 2019. Effects of eight weeks of high intensity functional training on glucose control and body composition among overweight and obese adults. *Sports* **7**(2): 51.
- Fernandes M & Barreto WJ** 2017. Association between physical activity and vitamin D: A narrative literature review. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*. **63** (6): 550-556.
- Fiamenghi V & Mello E** 2021. Vitamin D deficiency in children and adolescents with obesity: a meta-analysis. *Journal of pediatrics*. **97** (3): 273-279.
- Gong J, Li L, Zhou Q & Zhang J** 2025. Effects of CrossFit™ versus regular training on physical fitness and skills in U12 basketball players: A randomized controlled trial. *Medicine*. **104** (30): e43422.
- Haghshenas R, Jamshidi Z, Doaei S & Gholamalizadeh M** 2019. The effect of a high-intensity interval training on plasma vitamin D level in obese male adolescents. *Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism*. **23** (1): 72-75.
- Holick M** 2017. The vitamin D deficiency pandemic: Approaches for diagnosis, treatment and prevention. *Reviews in endocrine and metabolic disorders*. **18** (2): 153-165.
- Holick M** 2020. Sunlight, UV radiation, vitamin D, and skin cancer: How much sunlight do we need? *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. **1268**: 19-36.
- Holick M, et al.** 2011. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *Journal of clinical endocrinology & metabolism*. **96** (7): 1911-1930.
- Hollis B & Horst R** 2007. The assessment of circulating 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)2D: where we are and where we are going. *Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*. **103** (3-5): 473-476.
- Johnson C & Thacher T** 2023. Vitamin D: immune function, inflammation, infections and auto-immunity. *Paediatrics and international child health*. **43** (4): 29-39.
- Kennel K, Drake M & Hurley D** 2010. Vitamin D deficiency in adults: when to test and how to treat. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. **85** (8): 752-757; quiz 757-758.
- Khan S, Claeson M, Khan A & Neale R** 2024. The effect of physical activity on vitamin D: A systematic review and meta-analysis of

- intervention studies in humans. *Public health in practice*. 7: 100495.
- Kliszczewicz B, et al.** 2015. Acute exercise and oxidative stress: CrossFit(™) vs. Treadmill Bout. *Journal of human kinetics*. 47: 81-90.
- Koda S, et al.** 2023. Associations of plasma 25-hydroxy vitamin D and dietary vitamin D intake with insulin resistance in healthy Japanese women. *Journal of nutritional science and vitaminology*. 69 (1): 46-52.
- Lips P** 2007. Vitamin D status and nutrition in Europe and Asia. *Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*. 103 (3-5): 620-625.
- Maïmoun L & Sultan C** 2009. Effect of physical activity on calcium homeostasis and calciotropic hormones: a review. *Calcified tissue international*. 85 (4): 277-286.
- Malandish A, Tartibian B, Rahmati M, Afsargharehbagh R & Sheikhlou Z** 2020. The effect of moderate-intensity aerobic training on pulmonary function and estrogen receptor-alpha gene in postmenopausal women with vitamin D deficiency: A randomized control trial. *Respiratory physiology & neurobiology*. 281: 103510.
- Meyer J, Morrison J & Zuniga J** 2017. The benefits and risks of CrossFit: A systematic review. *Workplace health & safety*. 65 (12): 612-618.
- Moridpour A, et al.** 2022. Validity and reliability of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire for assessing dietary vitamin D and calcium intakes in Iranian childbearing age women. *Frontiers in nutrition*. 9: 1028265.
- O'Donoghue G, Blake C, Cunningham C, Lennon O & Perrotta C** 2021. What exercise prescription is optimal to improve body composition and cardiorespiratory fitness in adults living with obesity? A network meta-analysis. *Obesity reviews*. 22 (2): e13137.
- Peeling P, Fulton S, Binnie M & Goodman C** 2013. Training environment and Vitamin D status in athletes. *International journal of sports medicine*. 34 (3): 248-252.
- Pellegrini M, et al.** 2021. Pre-operative micronutrient deficiencies in patients with severe obesity candidates for bariatric surgery. *Journal of endocrinological investigation*. 44 (7): 1413-1423.
- Pereira-Santos M, Costa P, Assis A, Santos C & Santos D** 2015. Obesity and vitamin D deficiency: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity reviews*. 16 (4): 341-349.
- Piché M, Tchernof A & Després J** 2020. Obesity phenotypes, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases. *Circulation research*. 126 (11): 1477-1500.
- Pludowski P, Grant W, Karras S, Zittermann A & Pilz S** 2024. Vitamin D supplementation: A review of the evidence arguing for a daily dose of 2000 international units (50 µg) of Vitamin D for adults in the general population. *Nutrients*. 16 (3): 391.
- Rahmani A, et al.** 2015. Investigation of the prevalence of obesity in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis study. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 53 (10): 596-607.
- Rodríguez-Rodríguez E, Navia B, López-Sobaler A & Ortega R** 2009. Vitamin D in overweight/obese women and its relationship with dietetic and anthropometric variables. *Obesity* 17 (4): 778-782.
- Schlegel P** 2020. CrossFit® training strategies from the perspective of concurrent training: A systematic review. *Journal of sports science and medicine*. 19 (4): 670-680.
- Seo J, et al.** 2023. Effects of 12 weeks of resistance training on body composition, muscle hypertrophy and function, blood lipid level, and hemorheological properties in middle-aged obese women. *Reviews in cardiovascular medicine*. 24 (7): 196.
- Sun X, Ma X, Zhang L & Cao Z** 2020. Effects of resistance training on serum 25(OH) D concentrations in young men: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition & metabolism* 17 (59): 59.
- Tabrizi R, et al.** 2018. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among Iranian population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Iranian journal of medical sciences*. 43 (2): 125-139.
- Vázquez-Lorente H, et al.** 2020. Association

between body fatness and vitamin D3 status in a postmenopausal population. *Nutrients*. **12** (3): 667.

Zhang J & Cao ZB 2022. Exercise: A possibly effective way to improve vitamin D nutritional status. *Nutrients*. **14** (13): 2652.

Zittermann A, et al. 2000. Exercise-trained young men have higher calcium absorption rates and plasma calcitriol levels compared with age-matched sedentary controls. *Calcified tissue international*. **67** (3): 215-219.