Effects of Paleolithic Diet on Glucose Control in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Controlled Clinical Trials
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ABSTRACT

Background: Although the results were conflicting, the Paleolithic diet (PD) was proposed to be effective in improvement of metabolic status. We aimed to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis on the randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) evaluating the effects of PD on glycemic markers.

Methods: Online databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were searched up to December 2017 without any restrictions. The weighted mean difference (WMD) was also calculated using random effects model. Results: Eventually, eight good quality studies were included in the present systematic review and meta-analysis. The pooled analysis showed that although adherence to the PD led to reduction of fasting blood glucose (FBG) concentrations, it was no statistically significant (WMD = -0.31, 95% CI: -0.70, 0.07, P = 0.11). Moreover, compared with the control diets, the PD consumption did not significantly affect other glycemic markers such as 2-hour post-prandial blood glucose (2h PBG), insulin, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c).

Conclusions: Adherence to the PD had no significant effect on the glycemic markers, but reduction was observed in FBG levels.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), one of the most serious current health problems, is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by persistent hyperglycemia due to deficiencies in...
insulin production and/or insulin resistance (IR) (Ahangarpour et al., 2017). The prevalence of diabetes was estimated to be 9 percent in 2014 among adults (WHO, 2014). Based on the predictions from world health organization (WHO), the global prevalence of T2DM will rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million people by 2030 (Parham et al., 2014). Prolonged increased glucose level in T2DM causes many micro-vascular and macro-vascular complications such as neuropathy, retinopathy, nephropathy, and cardiovascular disorders. It also increases mortality and morbidity among the patients (Ahangarpour et al., 2017, Chan and Tang, 2015).

It has been recommended that the fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2-h post-prandial blood glucose (2h PBG), and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) could be considered as the standard glycemic markers for the assessment of T2DM and pre-diabetes status (Carson et al., 2016). Moreover, homeostasis model assessment, as a useful clinical index, has been widely used to evaluate the insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (Qu et al., 2011).

Diet modification is considered as one of the cornerstones in T2DM management, but we are faced with paucity of evidences about the appropriate approach for controlling hyperglycemia (Association, 2016). Moreover, there is uncertainty concerning the caloric intake from carbohydrate, fat, and protein for patients with T2DM (Evert et al., 2014). A wide variety of dietary patterns must be investigated to manage glycemic control and T2DM and to protect against its complications.

Today, the dietary pattern taken by our ancestors during the Paleolithic era has been focused by many researchers (the ‘Old Stone Age,’ 2.5 million–10,000 years ago). Anthropologic evidence from fossils, archeological evidence, and existing hunter-gatherer tribes around the world revealed that the ancient hunter-gatherer humans had Paleolithic diet (PD) (Whalen et al., 2017). This diet is described as a mainly plant food-based diet, with a wide variety of vegetables, roots, nuts, fruits, lean meat, fish, and eggs but not grains, dairy products, processed foods, sugars, and added salt (Jónsson et al., 2009). The PDs are naturally lower in sodium content while higher in potassium, antioxidants, vitamins C and E, carotenes, micronutrients, and fiber (Cordain, 2002, Österdahl et al., 2008).

Studies of extant hunter-gatherer people around the world such as Kitava, Papua New Guinea showed low prevalence of degenerative diseases among them (Metzgar et al., 2011). It was also indicated that this diet could be appropriate to prevent insulin resistance and glucose intolerance (Lindeberg et al., 2003). Therefore, research topics such as the clinical importance of PD pattern and models of disease prevention were discussed in the literature.

Although a number of randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) have been recently published on the effects of PD, we are still faced with lack of research evidence regarding its clinical benefits. Studies which systematically reviewed the current evidences are also scarce. Therefore, the aim of present systematic review and meta-analysis of published RCTs was to evaluate the effect of the PD pattern on the glycemic indices and to quantify its possible hypoglycemic effects.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy: This study was designed in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement (Picot et al., 2012). An in-depth search was carried out to identify the related published literature throughout the databases of PubMed, ISI Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases up to December 2017 using the following key words: “Paleolithic diet”, “Paleolithic nutrition”, stone age diet”, “stone age nutrition”, “caveman diet”, “caveman nutrition”, “Hunter-Gatherer diet”, “Hunter-Gatherer nutrition”, Paleolithic-type diet”, Paleolithic-type nutrition”.
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Study selection: Titles and abstracts of all articles retrieved from the initial search were evaluated independently by two reviewers (Mohammadi M and Mohammadi H). The original studies were included if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) applied a randomized-controlled clinical trial design; (2) were conducted among human adults (aged ≥ 18 years); (2) investigated the impact of the PD on glycemic status; and (3) reported the sufficient information on serum glycemic biomarkers in both Paleolithic and control diet. Articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded using a screen check list with a hierarchical approach. Exclusion criteria were: (1) uncontrolled trials; (2) participants aged < 18 years; (3) use of other interventions such as exercise along with the PD; (4) experimental studies; and (5) reviews, letters, editorial articles, or case reports. In the case that several papers reported similar data, we used the study with the largest population group.

Data extraction: The required data were extracted from the eligible studies: first author’s name; publication year; research design; gender and age of participants; sample size, duration of intervention, as well as the type of intervention and control diets. Furthermore, the mean and standard deviation (SD) of indices related to glycemic control and insulin resistance were extracted at baseline and end of study. The extracted data were checked by three independent researchers (Mohammadi M, Mohammadi H and Ramezani-Jolfai N) and rechecked by other authors to diminish the possible errors.

Quality assessment: A systematic assessment of bias was conducted on the included studies using the Cochrane criteria by two reviewers (Mohammadi M and Mohammadi H) (Higgins and Green, 2011). The quality of all included studies was assessed by the following items: adequacy of sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, illuminating of dropouts (imperfect outcome data), selective outcome reporting, and other potential causes of bias. Based on the Cochrane Handbook recommendations, a judgment of “yes” was considered as low risk of bias, “no” was perceived as high risk of bias, and “unclear” was taken as blurred or unidentified risk of bias. If RCTs had low risk in two risk domains or two to four risk domains, they were considered to have fair and high quality, respectively.

Data analysis: For each glycemic control index, we calculated the mean (SD) at the baseline and after the intervention for the PD and control groups. To calculate the pooled effect size for FBG, 2h PBG, insulin, HOMA-IR, and HbA1c, we used the random effects model. Between-study heterogeneity was evaluated using Cochran's Q test and I-square ($I^2$). To evaluate the possible effects of individual studies on the final results, an influence analysis was conducted (Tobias, 1999). We also used Begg's rank correlation test and Egger's regression asymmetry test to evaluate the publication bias. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA, version 11.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). The statistical significant values were defined as $P$ values <0.05

Results

Study selection: The electronic search on literature yielded 2722 titles, of which 26 were reviewed in full text considering the criteria of eligibility. Of these studies, 19 papers were excluded for the following reasons: 1) seven studies reported no data related to our target outcomes (Baumgartner et al., 2009, Frassetto et al., 2013, Genoni et al., 2016a, Jonsson et al., 2010, Jonsson et al., 2013, Lee et al., 2017, Singh et al., 2012); 2) six studies reported duplicated results (Andersson et al., 2016, Blomquist et al., 2017a, Blomquist et al., 2017b, Boraxbekk et al., 2015, Sandberg et al., 2012, Stromby et al., 2015); 3) four studies had single-arm design with no control group (Frassetto et al., 2009, Osterdahl et al., 2008, Ryberg et al., 2013, Trexler et al., 2013); 4) one study evaluated the effect of PD along with exercise (Otten et al., 2013).
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2016b); and 5) one study evaluated the acute effects of following the PD consumption (Bligh et al., 2015). In addition, one additional study (Mellberg et al., 2014) was added after the manual search of references. Eventually, eight eligible studies were included in the present systematic review and meta-analysis (Boers et al., 2014, Chorell et al., 2016, Genoni et al., 2016b, Jönsson et al., 2009, Lindeberg et al., 2007, Masharani et al., 2015, Mellberg et al., 2014, Otten et al., 2016a). The study selection process is shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics: The included studies were published from 2007 to 2016 and were conducted in the United States (Masharani et al., 2015), Australia (Genoni et al., 2016b), Sweden (Chorell et al., 2016, Jönsson et al., 2009, Lindeberg et al., 2007, Mellberg et al., 2014, Otten et al., 2016a), and Netherlands (Boers et al., 2014). Seven trials were designed as parallel-group studies (Boers et al., 2014, Chorell et al., 2016, Genoni et al., 2016b, Lindeberg et al., 2007, Masharani et al., 2015, Mellberg et al., 2014, Otten et al., 2016a) and one study had a crossover design (Jönsson et al., 2009) with follow-up period of two weeks to two years. All studies were comparison trials, in which PD was compared with other dietary patterns. In these studies, guidelines such as Australian guide to healthy eating (AGHE), Nordic nutrition recommendations (NNR), dietary recommendations based on the guidelines of the American Diabetes Association (ADA), dietary recommendations based on the guidelines for a healthy diet of the Dutch Health Council, diabetes diet designed in accordance with current diabetes dietary guideline, and Consensus (Mediterranean-like) diet were applied.

The number of samples in these RCTs ranged from 13 to 61 participants with the mean age of 59 years. The participants had different health status including healthy people, postmenopausal women, patients with type 2 diabetes or ischemic heart disease, and individuals with characteristics of the metabolic syndrome. The characteristics of the included RCTs in the present systematic review and meta-analysis are listed in Table 1.

Risk of bias assessment: After evaluating the quality of eight final studies according to the Cochrane collaboration’s risk of bias assessment tool, all RCTs were of good quality (Boers et al., 2014, Chorell et al., 2016, Genoni et al., 2016b, Jönsson et al., 2009, Lindeberg et al., 2007, Mellberg et al., 2014, Otten et al., 2016a) except Masharani et al.’s study (Masharani et al., 2015). This study had fair quality, in which no methods of allocation concealment and random sequence generation were reported. Moreover, since blinding was not possible to conduct dietary intervention trials, the blinding of participants and investigators was not considered throughout these studies. Findings of the quality assessment are illustrated in Table 2.

Meta-analysis: Six studies (including 210 participants) (Boers et al., 2014, Genoni et al., 2016b, Jönsson et al., 2009, Lindeberg et al., 2007, Masharani et al., 2015, Mellberg et al., 2014) examined the effect of PD pattern on FBG levels. The overall results showed that although adherence to PD led to reduction of FBG concentrations, it was not statistically significant [weighted mean difference (WMD) = -0.31, 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.70, 0.07, P = 0.11; Figure 2]. No heterogeneity was found between the studies (Q statistic = 5.78, Cochrane Q test, P = 0.328, I² = 13.5%).

Five studies (including 186 participants) reported the effects of PD on serum insulin changes. Their results showed that PD consumption did not significantly affect the insulin levels in comparison with the control diets (WMD = 0.55, 95%CI: -1.81, 2.92, P = 0.647; Figure 3). The result of between-study heterogeneity was significant (Cochran’s Q test, Q statistic = 18.49, P = 0.001, I² = 78.4%); however, due to the limited number of studies, we could not perform subgroup analysis to find the potential sources of this heterogeneity.
The overall result of meta-analysis of four studies (including 131 participants) (Boers et al., 2014, Chorell et al., 2016, Jönsson et al., 2009, Lindeberg et al., 2007) over the effects of the PD adherence on HOMA-IR showed no significant change (WMD = -0.33, 95%CI: -0.76, 0.09, P = 0.126; Figure 4) and no between-study heterogeneity (Cochran’s Q test, Q statistic = 4.42, P = 0.219, I² = 32.2%).

Other outcomes such as HbA1c and 2h PBG were also reported in a few of studies; no significant results were observed after conducting the meta-analysis (HbA1c: WMD = -0.26, 95%CI: -0.73, 0.20, P = 0.274; 2h PBG: WMD = -1.49, 95%CI: -3.16, 0.16, P = 0.077). Furthermore, no heterogeneity was observed among the studies (HbA1c: Cochran’s Q test, Q statistic = 0.05, P = 0.977, I² = 0%; 2h PBG: Cochran’s Q test, Q statistic = 3.30, P = 0.069, I² = 69.7%).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias: The sensitivity analysis was conducted for all parameters to assess the contribution of each study on the overall estimate. The results did not change after excluding any other study.

We found no evidence of publication bias in studies evaluating the effect of PD consumption on the levels of FBG (Begg’s test, P = 0.707; Egger’s test, P = 0.997), insulin (Begg’s test, P = 0.806; Egger’s test, P = 0.534), HOMA-IR (Begg’s test, P = 0.308; Egger’s test, P = 0.071), and HbA1c (Begg’s test, P = 0.296; Egger’s test, P = 0.154).

**Figure 1.** Flow chart representing study selection process.
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Figure 2. Forest plot of RCTs representing weighted mean difference in glucose change between the Paleolithic diet and control groups for all included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>WMD (95% CI)</th>
<th>% Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lindeberg (2007)</td>
<td>-0.44 (-1.16, 0.27)</td>
<td>23.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jansson (2009)</td>
<td>0.13 (-0.89, 1.14)</td>
<td>13.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellberg (2014)</td>
<td>-0.05 (-0.60, 0.51)</td>
<td>35.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boers (2014)</td>
<td>-0.10 (-1.84, 1.64)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masharani (2015)</td>
<td>-1.16 (-2.01, -0.31)</td>
<td>17.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genoni (2016)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-1.65, 1.64)</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (I-squared = 13.5%, p = 0.328)</td>
<td>-0.32 (-0.71, 0.07)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Forest plot of RCTs representing weighted mean difference in insulin change between the Paleolithic diet and control groups for all included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>WMD (95% CI)</th>
<th>% Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genoni (2016)</td>
<td>-0.28 (-1.83, 1.27)</td>
<td>24.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellberg (2014)</td>
<td>-1.05 (-2.83, 0.73)</td>
<td>23.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boers (2014)</td>
<td>-2.00 (-5.04, 1.04)</td>
<td>18.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonsson (2009)</td>
<td>5.91 (2.94, 8.88)</td>
<td>19.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindeberg (2007)</td>
<td>0.86 (-3.66, 5.38)</td>
<td>13.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (I-squared = 78.4%, p = 0.001)</td>
<td>0.56 (-1.82, 2.93)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 4.** Forest plot of RCTs representing weighted mean difference in HOMA-IR change between the Paleolithic diet and control groups for all included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>WMD (95% CI)</th>
<th>% Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chorell (2016)</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.62, 0.43)</td>
<td>35.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boers (2014)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-1.02, 0.30)</td>
<td>27.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonsson (2009)</td>
<td>-1.42 (-2.57, -0.27)</td>
<td>11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindeberg (2007)</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.86, 0.56)</td>
<td>24.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (I-squared = 32.2%, p = 0.219)</td>
<td>-0.34 (-0.77, 0.09)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table 1. Characteristics of included randomized controlled clinical trials in the systematic review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author (Year)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number &amp; sex (F/M)</th>
<th>Mean age (y)</th>
<th>RCT design</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>Intervention diet</th>
<th>Control diet</th>
<th>Reported data</th>
<th>Notes about participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chorell (2016)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>31F on 24F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet CHO: 30%, Fat: 40% Pro: 30%</td>
<td>Nordic Nutrition Recommendations CHO: 55-60%, Fat: 25-30%, Pro: 15%</td>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Postmenopausal non-smoking women with a BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otten (2016)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>25F on 16F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet CHO: 30%, Fat: 40% Pro: 30%</td>
<td>Nordic Nutrition Recommendations CHO: 55-60%, Fat: 25-30%, Pro: 15%</td>
<td>2h PBG</td>
<td>Postmenopausal non-smoking women with a BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masharani (2015)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>4NR on 10NR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet CHO: 58.2%, Fat: 27%, Pro: 18.5%</td>
<td>A diet based on recommendations by the American Diabetes Association CHO: 54.4%, Fat: 28.8%, Pro: 20.3%</td>
<td>FBG, HbA1c</td>
<td>Patients with type 2 diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellberg (2014)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3F/5M on 27F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet CHO: 30%, Fat: 40% Pro: 30%</td>
<td>Nordic nutrition recommendations CHO: 55-60%, Fat: 25-30%, Pro: 15%</td>
<td>FBG, Insulin</td>
<td>Postmenopausal non-smoking women with a BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boers (2014)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>3F/5M on 55.4</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Paleolithic diet CHO: 32%, Fat: 41% Pro: 24%</td>
<td>Healthy reference diet CHO: 50%, Fat: 29% Pro: 17%</td>
<td>FBG, Insulin HOMA-IR</td>
<td>Subjects with characteristics of the MetS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Diet Type</td>
<td>Diet Details</td>
<td>Main Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Study Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonsson (2009)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3F/10M</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Crossover</td>
<td>Paleolithic</td>
<td>CHO: 32%, Fat: 39%, Pro: 24%</td>
<td>Diabetic diet designed in accordance with current diabetes dietary guideline</td>
<td>Patients with type 2 diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindeberg (2007)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Intervention 4M</td>
<td>Control 15M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>Paleolithic</td>
<td>CHO: 40.2%, Fat: 26.9%, Pro: 27.9%</td>
<td>Consensus (Mediterranean-like) diet</td>
<td>Ischemic heart disease patients with waist circumference &gt;94 cm and increased blood glucose or known diabetes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Table 2- Risk of bias assessment for included randomized controlled clinical trails |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| allocation (selection bias)     | +               | +               | +               | ?               | +               | +               | +               | +               |
| Blinding of participants        | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               | -               |
| and personnel (Performance bias)|                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Blinding of outcome             | -               | +               | +               | -               | +               | +               | +               | +               |
| assessment (Detection bias)      |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Incomplete outcome              | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | ?               |
| data (Attrition bias)           |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Selective reporting             | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               | +               |
| (Reporting bias)                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Score                           | 3               | 4               | 4               | 2               | 4               | 4               | 4               | 3               |
| Overall quality                 | Good            | Good            | Good            | Fair            | Good            | Good            | Good            | Good            |

Discussion

To best of our knowledge, the present systematic review and meta-analysis is the first study that assessed the effect of PD pattern on the glycemic control. Our meta-analysis of eight RCTs showed that this dietary pattern had no significant effects on glycemic markers, although reduction was observed in FBG levels.

The PD recommends avoidance of processed food, refined sugars, legumes, dairy, grains, and cereals. Instead, it advocates for the consumption of plant foods including fruit, vegetables, nuts, roots and grass-fed meat, wild fish, and “healthy” saturated fat (O’dea, 1984). It was reported that PD could improve the insulin sensitivity and prevent insulin resistance (Lindeberg et al., 2003). This pattern may be more satiating among patients with T2DM; this can be resulted from significant increase in plasma glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide, and peptide YY (PYY) following the PD consumption. The changes of these hormone levels were associated with a higher satiety score (Bligh et al., 2015). Moreover, the higher protein content and amount of fruit and vegetable caused by its high contents of water (Davy et al., 2008), may promote the satiating effect of the Paleolithic dietary pattern (Beasley et al., 2009).

In comparison with regular diabetes diet, PD was lower in cereals, dairy products, potatoes, beans, and bakery foods but higher in fruits, vegetables, meat, and eggs. Therefore, it seems that this diet has lower total energy, carbohydrate, dietary glycemic load, fiber, and saturated fatty acids, but higher unsaturated fatty acids and dietary cholesterol (Klonoff, 2009). Moreover, PD provides higher amounts of protein, which can promote the weight loss compared with high-carbohydrate diets and consequently lead to favorable effects on risk reduction for metabolic diseases (Lasker et al., 2008). However, it must be noted that in absence of changes in weight or energy intake, the PD is as efficient in improving the glucose, insulin, or HOMA-IR as a standard diet. Thus, even very short deficits in energy balance can improve the metabolic parameters (Gannon et al., 1996).
Previous meta-analysis reported that PD, compared with control diets, resulted in greater short-term improvements for FBG (20.16 mmol/L; 95% CI: 20.44, 0.11 mmol/L) (Manheimer et al., 2015). However, only four studies with short duration were included in this review; therefore, the results are probably unreliable.

Lack of a significant decrease in levels of FBG, insulin, and HOMA-IR in our study may be partly explained by the fact that most of the participants had normal glucose tolerance at the baseline, which could reduce the possibility of improving the metabolic status (Mellberg et al., 2014). Indeed, most studies over the effect of PD on insulin and insulin sensitivity were conducted among participants with a more pronounced metabolic dysfunction. This indicates an improvement in the glucose tolerance and cardiovascular risk markers (Jönsson et al., 2010).

Glucose tolerance did not improve after reduction of carbohydrate intake in earlier dietary investigations (Noakes et al., 2006, Pittas et al., 2006). Diets with low glycemic load such as PD pattern can reduce the metabolic consequences of glucose intolerance; for example, they can delay the manifestation of diabetes, without necessarily improving the glucose tolerance (Gannon and Nuttall, 2006, Reaven, 2005). The potential impact of high protein intakes over long term periods as well as its association with hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance require further investigations (Rietman et al., 2014). However, weight loss may be a more significant modifier of metabolic syndrome risk compared with the type or quantity of protein intake (Hill et al., 2015).

Some limitations exist in the present systematic review and meta-analysis that must be mentioned. First, there were not many studies on the PD and therefore, the total meta-analysis sample size was small. Second, the included trials were conducted among the individuals with different metabolic characteristics (i.e., patients with T2DM, postmenopausal, ischemic heart disease, metabolic syndrome, and healthy people). In addition, the intervention duration was various in different studies which might lead to different results. On the other hand, the results of most studies were not adjusted for confounding factors such as physical activity (Bassuk and Manson, 2005, Boulé et al., 2001) and smoking (Eliasson, 2003), which were associated with glycemic indices. This meta-analysis had several strengths. It evaluated the effect of PD on several glycemic markers. Additionally, a comprehensive and systematical search was conducted to find all the relevant published literatures and subsequently Egger’s test and Begg’s test showed that the findings were not affected by publication bias.

**Conclusions**

The PD had no significant effect on glycemic markers; therefore, it is difficult to make strong conclusions about the long term benefits of this diet considering the short duration of interventions and small sample sizes of the included studies. Accordingly, additional studies are required to assess the anti-hyperglycemic effect of the PD in patients with hyperglycemia. Since avoidance of refined and extra sugars and processed, energy-dense food is in accordance with the available guidelines, more RCTs with more patients in longer period of time are required to determine its beneficial effects over other dietary advices.
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